# A Flux-form version of the Conservative Semi-Lagrangian Multi-Tracer Transport Scheme (CSLAM) Peter H. Lauritzen <sup>a,\*</sup> and Lucas M. Harris<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> pel@ucar.edu, Climate and Global Dynamics Division, National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCAR), Boulder, Colorado, USA. <sup>b</sup> **Iharris@atmos.washington.edu**, Department of Atmospheric Sciences, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington, USA.

## Lagrangian formulation of CSLAM

The 2D transport equation (no sources/sinks) for cell  $A_k$  is given by:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_{A_k(t)}\psi\,dA=0,\quad \text{Lagrangian}$$

where  $\psi$  is the density, dA is the element area, and  $A_k(t)$  Lagrangian area.

	A <sub>k</sub>
a	

Use upstream discretization:

•  $A_k(t + \Delta t) = A_k$  = Eulerian grid cell with area  $\Delta A_k$ •  $A_k(t) = a_k$  = corresponding upstream Lagrangian (de-

formed) cell with area  $\delta a_k$ 

Then (1) can be written as

$$\overline{\psi}_k^{n+1} \Delta A_k = \overline{\psi}_k^{*^n} \delta a_k$$

where  $(\cdot)'$ is the average of  $\psi$  over  $A_k$  and

$$\overline{\psi_k^*}^n = \frac{1}{\delta a_k} \iint_{a_k} \psi^n(x, y) \, dx \, dy.$$

• Use polynomial reconstruction functions in each Eulerian cell  $\ell$  in the form

$$f_{\ell}(x,y) = \sum_{i+j \leq 2} c_{\ell}^{(i,j)} x^i y^j, \quad i,j \in \{0,1,2\},$$

where  $c_{\ell}^{(l,j)}$  are derived coefficients ensuring mass-conservation.

- Reconstructions are piecewise parabolic, and so third order accurate.
- Since  $f_{\ell}(x, y)$  is local to  $A_{\ell}$  and no continuity across cell borders is enforced, the integration over  $a_k$  in (5) must be split into overlap integrals

$$\overline{\psi_k^*}^n = \frac{1}{\delta a_k} \sum_{\ell=1}^{L_k} \iint_{a_{k\ell}} f_\ell(x, y) \, dx \, dy.$$



where  $L_k$  is the number of overlap areas and

- $a_{k\ell} = a_k \cap A_\ell, \quad a_{k\ell} \neq \emptyset; \quad \ell = 1, \dots, L_k.$
- We convert area integrals in (5) into line-integrals by applying the Gauss-Green theorem (Dukowicz 1984):

$$\iint_{a_{k\ell}} f_{\ell}(x,y) \, dx \, dy = \oint_{\partial a_{k\ell}} \left[ P \, dx + Q \, dy \right],$$

where  $\partial a_{k\ell}$  is the boundary of  $a_{k\ell}$  and  $-\frac{\partial P}{\partial v} + \frac{\partial Q}{\partial x} = f_{\ell}(x, y)$ . •  $\Rightarrow$  CSLAM scheme is given by (Lauritzen et al. 2010)

$$\overline{\psi}_k^{n+1} \Delta A_k = \sum_{\ell=1}^{L_k} \iint_{a_{k\ell}} f_\ell(x, y) \, dx \, dy = \sum_{\ell=1}^{L_k} \left[ \sum_{i+j \le 2} c_\ell^{(i,j)} w_{k\ell}^{(i,j)} \right]$$

where  $w_{k\ell}^{(l,j)}$  are functions of the coordinate locations of the vertices of  $a_{k\ell}$  and can be re-used for each additional tracer (multi-tracer efficiency).

# **Flux-form formulation of CSLAM (FF-CSLAM)**

The two-dimensional transport equation (no sources/sinks) for a cell  $A_k$ :

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{A_k} \psi \, dA + \oint_{\partial A_k} (\psi \, \vec{v}) \cdot \vec{n} \, dS = 0, \quad \text{flux-form}$$

where  $\partial A_k$  is the boundary of static Eulerian cell  $A_k$  and  $\vec{n}$  the outward normal vector to  $\partial A_k$ . The second-term on the left-hand side of (7) represents the instantaneous flux of mass through the boundaries of  $A_k$ .



Flux-form version of CSLAM is based on semi-Lagrangian discretization of (7)

$$\overline{\psi}_k^{n+1} \Delta A_k = \overline{\psi}_k^n \Delta A_k + \sum_{\varepsilon=1}^4 s^{\varepsilon} F_k^{\varepsilon},$$

where  $s^{\varepsilon} = \pm 1$  for inflow and outflow, respectively, and face-fluxes

$$F_k^{\varepsilon} = \sum_{\ell=1}^{L_k^{\varepsilon}} F_{k\ell}^{\varepsilon} = \sum_{\ell=1}^{L_k^{\varepsilon}} \iint_{a_k^{\varepsilon}} f_\ell(x, y) \, dx \, dy$$

where  $L_k^{\varepsilon}$  is the number of non-empty overlap areas between the flux-area  $a_k^{\varepsilon}$ and the Eulerian grid. More details in Harris and Lauritzen (2010).

Note that the union of the areas used in FF-CSLAM and CSLAM are identical

$$\mathbf{A} - \sum_{\varepsilon=1}^{4} \left( s^{\varepsilon} \times \delta a_{k}^{\varepsilon} \right) = \delta a_{k},$$

i.e. the two schemes will produce identical results.

- Extension to the cubed-sphere is described in Lauritzen et al. (2010).
- Method extendable to other unstructured spherical grids (e.g. icosahedral).

## **Monotonicity preservation**

- A priori ("Monotone filtering"): Filter the reconstruction  $f_{\ell}(x,y)$  so that extreme values lie within the adjacent cellaverage values.
- A posteriori ("Monotone limiting"): Limit the fluxes to prevent new extrema in  $\overline{\psi}^{n+1}$  using flux-corrected transport (Zalesak 1979).
- Selective filtering/selective limiting (Blossey and Durran 2008): apply filtering or limiting only where a smoothness metric exceeds a certain threshold.

(1)

(2)

(3)

(4)

(5)



\* Supported by **DOE BER program** #DE-SC0001658

### m (Eulerian)

(7)



(9)

— no filter — monotone filter

# Why flux-form?

- FF-CSLAM can use flux limiters and flux-corrected transport (Zalesak 1979) as well as reconstruction filtering to enforce shape-preservation (monotonicity and positivity), while Lagrangian CSLAM is restricted to filtering.
- Flux-form methods allow **sub-cycling**, or tracer advection at a longer timestep than that of the dynamics. This is done by accumulating the air-mass fluxes for the continuity equation over the long timestep and multiplying by the time- and space-averaged mixing ratio for the tracer.

## **Results: smooth cosine bell test**



- A smooth cosine bell tracer distribution was advected once around the sphere, over the corners of the cubed-sphere grid.
- The selective methods converge with third-order accuracy (see above) and retain physical extrema (see below), unlike either monotone filtering or monotone limiting.



#### **Efficiency** (for one tracer)

- For CFL<1 FF-CSLAM is at most 40% more expensive than CSLAM (for CFL $\approx$ 3 FF-CSLAM is approximately 110% more expensive than CSLAM)
- The monotone filtering is much less efficient than monotone limiting in FF-CSLAM (monotone limiting almost doubles the cost whereas monotone filtering almost triples the cost)
- Selective filtering is more efficient than monotone limiting!

### DOE BER effort: Implement (FF-)CSLAM into CAM-HOMME

#### **References**

Blossey, P. and D. Durran, 2008: Selective monotonicity preservation in scalar advection. J. Comput. Phys., 227, 5160–5183. Dukowicz, J., 1984: Conservative rezoning (remapping) for general quadrilateral meshes. J. Comput. Phys., 54, 411–424. Harris, L. M. and P. H. Lauritzen, 2010: A flux-form version of the conservative semi-lagrangian multi-tracer transport scheme (cslam) on the cubed sphere grid. J. Comput. Phys., submitted. Lauritzen, P. H., R. D. Nair, and P. A. Ullrich, 2010: A conservative semi-Lagrangian multi-tracer transport scheme (cslam) on the cubed-sphere grid. J. Comput. *Phys.*, **229**, 1401–1424.

Zalesak, S. T., 1979: Fully multidimensional flux-corrected transport algorithms for fluids. J. Comput. Phys., **31**, 335–362.

