





## Introduction

• It is the purpose of this paper to propose a standard test case suite for 2D transport schemes on the sphere intended to be used for model development and facilitating scheme intercomparison.

• Test cases are designed to assess important aspects of accuracy in geophysical fluid dynamics under challenging flow conditions.

• Experiments are designed to be easy to setup, i.e. only 2 analytical wind fields (1 non-divergent, 1 divergent; Nair and Lauritzen, 2010) and four initial conditions are used:



0 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9 1 1.1

Fig. 1. Contour plots for the four initial conditions for mixing ratio  $\phi$  used in this test suite. (a) depicts the infinitely smooth ( $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}$ ) initial condition constructed from Gaussian surfaces, (b) the cosine bells initial condition which is  $\mathcal{C}^1$ , (c) the non-smooth slotted cylinders initial condition, and (d) is the initial condition which is nonlinearly correlated with (b).

### Sample results are shown for these schemes:

Table 1. A list of acronyms (first column), full names (second column), primary reference (third column), and implementation grid (fourth column) for schemes used in this paper.



Flow deforms initial conditions into thin filaments and an "overlaid" translational flow transports the filaments as they deform (half way through simulation: t = T/2):



**Fig. 2.** Same as Fig. 1 but for the numerical solution at t = T/2 using CSLAM with a time-step  $\Delta t = T/120$  and resolution of  $\Delta \lambda = 1.5^{\circ}$ .

# Assessing accuracy of transport schemes in global climate-weather models: new idealized test case suite

# Peter Hjort Lauritzen<sup>1</sup>, W.C. Skamarock<sup>1</sup>, M.J. Prather<sup>2</sup>, M.A. Taylor<sup>3</sup> and C. Jablonowski<sup>4</sup>

## Filament diagnostic

Assess how well schemes preserve gradients and thin filaments near the grid scale. Definition from Lauritzen et al. (2012a): The "filament" preservation diagnostic is formulated as follows. Define  $A(\tau, t)$  as the spherical area for which the spatial distribution of the tracer  $\phi(\lambda, \theta)$  satisfies (27)  $\phi(\lambda, \theta) \geq \tau$ at time t, where  $\tau$  is the threshold value. For a non-divergent flow field and a passive and inert tracer  $\phi$ , the area  $A(\tau, t)$  is invariant in time. The discrete definition of  $A(\tau, t)$  is  $A(\tau,t)=\sum \Delta A_k,$ (28) where  $\Delta A_k$  is the spherical area for which  $\phi_k$  is representative, K is the number of grid cells, and  $\mathcal{G}$  is the set of indices  $\mathcal{G} = \{k \in (1, \dots, K) | \phi_k \ge \tau\}$ For Eulerian finite-volume schemes  $\Delta A_k$  is the area of the k-th control volume. For Eulerian grid-point schemes a control volume for which the grid-point value is representative must be defined. Similarly for fully Lagrangian schemes based on point values (parcels) control volumes for which the point values are representative must be defined. Note that the "control volumes" should span the entire domain without overlaps or "cracks" between them. Define the filament preservation diagnostic  $\int 100.0 \times \frac{A(\tau,t)}{A(\tau,t=0)} \text{ if } A(\tau,t=0) \neq 0,$  $\ell_{\rm f}(\tau,t) = \langle$ (30) For infinite resolution (continuous case) and a non-divergent flow,  $\ell_{f}(\tau, t)$  is invariant in time:  $\ell_f(\tau, t = 0) = \ell_f(\tau, t) = 100$  for all  $\tau$ . At finite resolution, however, the filament

A very diffusive scheme will tend to decrease/increase  $l_f$  for high/low values of  $\tau$  (peak values decrease/more area is covered with lower values of mixing ratio  $\phi$ ):

(a) 1<sup>st</sup>-order CSLAM 1.5° -0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9 1.0

Filament diagnostic at t=T/2 (Fig.2b) for Cosine bells initial conditions (Fig.1b) and non-divergent flow field using 1<sup>st</sup>order version of CSLAM

Results for higher-order schemes at t=T/2 without a shape-preserving filter (unlimited) and with one (shape-preserving) at two resolutions (appended "CN" is Courant number):



<sup>1</sup> National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder <sup>2</sup>University of California, Irvine <sup>3</sup>Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque <sup>4</sup>University of Michigan, Ann Arbor



Overshooting can be avoided with properly designed shape-preserving filters. Some range-preserving unmixing must be tolerated with higherorder schemes.



See Lauritzen and Thuburn (2011) for more details on the mixing diagnostics.







## **Transport in divergent flow**

Demonstrate that scheme can transport under divergent flow conditions (usually challenges the coupling between air mass and tracers).

Test case suite was exercised by a dozen state-of-the-art transport schemes at workshop at NCAR in March 2011 (Lauritzen et al., 2012b).

### References

- Dennis, J. M., and co-authors, 2012: CAM-SE: A scalable spectral element dynamical core for the Community Atmosphere Model, Int. J. High. Perform. C., 26, 74–89.
- Lauritzen P.H., R.D. Nair RD, P.A. Ullrich. 2010. A conservative semi-Lagrangian multi-tracer transport scheme (CSLAM) on the cubed-sphere grid. J. Comput. Phys. 229: 1401–1424.
- Lauritzen, P.H. and J. Thuburn, 2011: Evaluating advection/transport schemes using interrelated tracers, scatter plots and numerical mixing diagnostics. Quart. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc.: in press
- Lauritzen P.H., W.C. Skamarock, M.J. Prather, M.A. Taylor, 2012a. A standard test case suite for two-dimensional linear transport on the sphere. Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss., 5, 189-228.
- Lauritzen P.H. and co-authors, 2012b. A standard test case suite for two-dimensional linear transport on the sphere: results from a collection of state-of-the-art schemes. Geosci. Model Dev., in prep.
- Lin, S. J. and R.B.Rood, 1996: Multidimensional Flux-Form Semi-Lagrangian Transport Schemes, Mon. Wea. Rev., 124, 2046-2070.
- Nair, R.N., P.H. Lauritzen 2010. A Class of Deformational Flow Test Cases for Linear Transport Problems on the Sphere. J. Comput. Phys. 229: 8868–8887.

Prather, M.J., 1986. Numerical advection by conservation of second-order moments, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **91**, 6671–6681.