

# **Dissolved organic matter (DOM) - microbe interactions**

**Christian Lønborg**




Swansea University, College of Biosciences, Swansea, UK


# Overview

- DOM introduction and why do we care?
- Case studies:
  1. Bacterial DOM degradation
  2. Bacterial and UV DOM degradation
- Research needs


# What is dissolved organic matter (DOM)?




# Why dissolved organics matter?




- 60% of nitrogen and phosphorus are in DOM





# DOM composition




~15% of the bulk DOM pool in coastal ocean

# DOM

Dissolved organic  
carbon (DOC)



e. g. Carbohydrates



Dissolved organic  
nitrogen (DON)



e. g. Proteins



Dissolved organic  
phosphorus (DOP)



e. g. DNA





# DOM bioavailability

Degraded =  
**Bioavailable (BDOM)**




Non-degraded =  
**Refractory (RDOM)**




# Case study 1: Bacterial DOM degradation






# Coastal DOM database




# Microbial degradation of DOM





Included only incubations > 40 days

# Bioavailability of DOM




Bioavailability gradient : P > N > C

# Refractory DOM



River DOM more refractory  $\rightarrow$  moves conservatively

# C:N:P stoichiometry of DOM




Ratio	C:	N:	P
Bioavailable DOM	195	26	1
Phyto. DOM	170	10	1
Refractory DOM	2835	159	1
River DOM	3495	118	1

River and plankton main contributor to DOM pool




# Kinetics of BDOM degradation



Reactivity gradient :  $P > N > C$


# Modelling the BDOM degradation




Longer flushing time less BDOM exported

# Modelling the BDOM degradation

C/N of BDOM



C/P of BDOM




Longer flushing time - more carbon rich BDOM exported

# Conclusion


- DOM bioavailability and reactivity ( $P > N > C$ )
- Refractory DOM is conservatively mixed
- Plankton main contributor to BDOM
- Bioavailable DOM is exported

# Case study 2: Bacterial and UV DOM degradation





# Photochemical DOM degradation




# Microbes and photochemical processes



60% of river DOM is coloured (Aiken et al. 1985)

Sunlight - 96% of colour and 41% of DOC  
(Vahatalo & Wetz 2004)







Photodegradation 5-fold greater (Opsahl & Benner 1998)

Combined microbial and photodegradation - 60% decrease in River DOM (Miller & Moran 1997)

Microbial and photodegradation together degrade DOM



# Microbes and photochemical processes



DOC degradation also lower (Lønborg et al. 2013; Lønborg et al. in-prep)

UV-light negative impact on DOM degradation (Lønborg et al. 2013; Lønborg et al. in-prep)


Plankton DOM → negative effect DOM degradation  
River DOM → positive effect DOM degradation

# Conclusions


- Sunlight exposure degradation of DOM
- Microbial and photodegradation more efficient
- UV-light:
  - negative effect on plankton DOM degradation
  - positive effect on river DOM degradation

Research needs






# River DOM in marine systems



0.3 Gt  $y^{-1}$  DOC to ocean (Bianchi 2011)

DOC in lakes and rivers has increased  $\sim 91\%$  (Evans et al. 2006)

What is the effect for the coastal carbon cycle?



# Temperature impacts




Global ocean warming – Impact on DOM degradation ?

$Q_{10}$  rate change with 10°C normally assumed to be 2

	$Q_{10}$	half-life (day)
Phytoplankton-derived DOC	1.7	6.3
Natural marine DOC (spring)	1.7	11
Natural marine DOC (summer)	1.8	6.9
Natural marine DOC (winter)	2.1	53
Humic DOC	2.7	152
Semirefractory DOC	3.8	3500
Semirefractory DOC	4.8	3500

- $Q_{10}$  for DOM degradation varies between 1.7 – 4.8

What is the impact on foodweb and carbon cycle?





# Priming effects in marine systems



- Refractory soil organic matter degradation – increase up to 600% when glucose added (Hamer et al. 2004)
- Some marine studies show effect on DOM degradation (Lønborg et al. unpubl. Results)

Does different DOM respond differently?

# Summing up.....

- DOM bioavailability and reactivity (P>N>C)
- Bioavailable DOM is exported
- Microbial and photodegradation more efficient
- Plankton/river DOM respond differently

## Research needs

- Increased flow of river DOM
- Temperature effects on DOM degradation
- Priming effects on DOM degradation

# Acknowledgement



**CARLSBERGFONDET**

H.C. Andersens Boulevard 35 · 1553 København V · Telefon 33 43 53 63



Swansea University  
Prifysgol Abertawe

Swansea University, College of Biosciences



CSIC, Instituto de Investigaciones Marinas, Vigo, Spain

## Co-workers:

Cátia Carreira, Xosé A. Álvarez-Salgado, Eva Teira, Sandra Martínez-García, Morten Søndergaard, Dennis Hansell, Vanesa V.dos Santos, Maria J. Pazó

# Thank you for your attention!

