



Sparsity pattern of 3D differential operator to invert

- Four order accuracy.
- $\approx 1.5$  million of nodes in the domain ( $\Delta r \approx 1$ km,  $\Delta \theta \approx \Delta \lambda \approx 140$  km)
- GMRES or BI-CGSTAB w/ ILU preconditioner
- ≈ 25min on MacBook Pro Intel Core i7 2.7GHz (includes pre-processing)

#### FORMULATION

The GEC is modeled by the equation

$$\nabla \cdot \left[\sigma\left(\lambda,\theta,r\right)\nabla u\left(\lambda,\theta,r\right)\right] = f\left(\lambda,\theta,r\right), \quad \text{in } \Omega \quad (1)$$

where u is the electric potential,  $\sigma$  the atmospheric conductivity and f the current source. Since  $\sigma > 0$ , it can be written as

$$\Delta u + (\nabla \log \sigma) (\nabla u) = \frac{f}{\sigma}, \qquad (2)$$

leading to a better numerical conditioning. The domain  $\Omega$  extends from the Earth's surface to the base of the ionosphere at 90 km altitude. At the bottom and top of the domain, Dirichlet BCs are enforced. An exponential change of variable is used to stretch the topography of the Earth and increase the resolution over the ground.



Since we are using RBF-FD methods, the nodes can be easily scattered over the topography.

#### RBF-FD METHODS

RBF-FD methods are well-known for its hability to solve PDEs in irregular domains. As in FD, the differential operator is approximated as

$$\mathcal{L}u|_{x_k} \approx \sum_{i=1}^n w_i u_i,\tag{3}$$

where the stencil is formed by the *n*-th closest nodes, but the weights  $w_i$  are computed imposing (3) to be exact for RBFs,  $\phi_{ij} = \phi\left(\left\|\underline{x}_i - \underline{x}_j\right\|_2\right)$ . It leads to the system of equations

$\begin{bmatrix} \phi_{11} \\ \phi_{21} \end{bmatrix}$	$\phi_{12} \ \phi_{22}$	•••	$\left. egin{array}{c} \phi_{1n} \ \phi_{2n} \end{array}  ight $	$\begin{bmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{L}\phi\left(\ \underline{x}-\underline{x}_{1}\ _{2}\right) _{x_{k}}\\ \mathcal{L}\phi\left(\ \underline{x}-\underline{x}_{2}\ _{2}\right) _{x_{k}} \end{bmatrix}$
$\vdots \\ \phi_{n1}$	$\vdots \ \phi_{n2}$	• • •	$\vdots \\ \phi_{nn}$	$\begin{bmatrix} \vdots \\ w_n \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} \vdots \\ \mathcal{L}\phi\left(\ \underline{x} - \underline{x}_n\ _2\right) _{x_k} \end{bmatrix}$

which is guaranteed to be non-singular, no matter how the nodes (assumed to be distinct) are scattered in any number of dimensions. The corresponding weights result into a highly sparse differentiation matrix, which may be solved using an iterative solver such as BI-CGSTAB or GMRES.

Electrical linkages within the atmosphere are often discussed in "fair-weather regions". The downward, fair-weather, current denterms of a "Global Electric Circuit" (GEC). It extends from the sity varies considerably with space and time due to variations in Earth's surface to the base of the ionosphere defined to be 90 km atmospheric conductivity, which in turn is dependent upon ataltitude. The basic idea is that thunderclouds and other highly mospheric chemistry, aerosols, solar radiation, fluxes of GCRs, electrified clouds produce an upward current (1000 - 2000 A) radiation belt relativistic electrons and energetic solar protons. that maintains the ionosphere at a quasi-static potential of order The diverse physical phenomenas than play a major role in sup- $240 \pm 40$  kV with respect to the ground. A downward return cur-plying current to the global circuit makes the electric system a rent density is distributed over the rest of the globe in so-called frontier research problem for earth system studies.

# MODELING GLOBAL THUNDERSTORM ELECTRICAL ACTIVITY WITH RBF-FD Victor Bayona, Natasha Flyer, Erik Lehto and A. J. G. Baumgaertner vbayona@ucar.edu, flyer@ucar.edu, elehto@kth.se, work@andreas-baumgaertner.net



# CHARGED EARTH

As test problem, the Laplace's equation over a ficticious charged Earth is solved. The figure below shows the electric potential at different altitudes from the Earth's surface. The Earth's topography is in black.







# APPLICATION I: SOLAR VARIABILITY

Solar variability: The GEC solver was used to calculate the total global conductivity (blue), global mean PD (green) and generator current (red) from the changes in conductivity due to solar variability as a function of years (top figure). Conductivity for years 1997 (left), 2003 (middle), 2008 (right) is shown in the bottom figure.

The following figures show the potential, electric field and current density computed at different distances from the ground using the column resistance and source locations of the graph below.









Volcanic eruptions: The GEC solver was used to calculate the effects of a volcanic eruption on the electrostatic potential of the atmosphere. The left figure shows the time evolution in months of the conductivity due to a volcanic eruption at the equator. The right figure depicts the corresponding resistance (top), total current (middle) and ionospheric potential (bottom) as a function of time.

# ACKNOWLEDMENTS

This work was supported by NSF Award AGS-1135446 and grant DMS-093431. The authors achnowledge B. Fornberg, G. Barnett and B. Martin for the useful discussions and suggestions.



## REAL DATA SIMULATIONS

### APPLICATION II: VOLCANIC ERUPTION