#### **Motivation**



The impact of localized grid refinement on subgrid parameterization in idealized climate simulations

- For variable-resolution general circulation models to be effective tools for climate assessments they need to be validated in conjunction with subgrid physical parameterization
- Use DoE/NSF <u>C</u>ommunity <u>A</u>tmosphere <u>M</u>odel <u>S</u>pectral <u>E</u>lement dynamical core (CAM-SE) to test variable-resolution performance with GCM physics package
- Perform 6 simulations using "control" aquaplanet from Neale and Hoskins (2000, ASL)
  - Three using CAM4 physics
  - Three using CAM5 physics
- Assess climatology



#### (Some) Results

#### Atmospheric, Oceanic and Space Sciences

UNIVERSITY of MICHIGAN





#### **Precipitation extremes**



- CAM4 physics shows extreme scale sensitivity to cloud fraction, CAM5 much improved
- Both physics packages increase precipitation with resolution

- CAM-SE allows wave features to pass through transition region satisfactorily
- Climate in nest matches corresponding uniform climate

# The impact of localized grid refinement on sub-grid parameterization in idealized climate experiments



# Colin M. Zarzycki<sup>1</sup>, Michael N. Levy<sup>2</sup>, Christiane Jablonowski<sup>1</sup>, James R. Overfelt<sup>3</sup>, and Mark A. Taylor<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Atmospheric, Oceanic and Space Sciences, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI <sup>2</sup>National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, CO <sup>3</sup>Sandia National Laboratories, Department of Energy, Albuquerque, NM E-mail: zarzycki@umich.edu



**UNIVERSITY of MICHIGAN** 

#### Variable Resolution in CAM-SE

- Variable-resolution feature implemented in NSF/DoE <u>Community</u> <u>Atmosphere</u> <u>Model</u> (CAM) <u>Spectral</u> <u>Element</u> (SE) dynamical core.
- From <u>Higher</u> Order <u>Methods</u> Modeling Environment (HOMME)
- Quadrilateral elements on a cubed sphere ullet
  - Arbitrary quadrilateral elements (no rectangular

### CAM4

- Extreme scale sensitivity with CAM4 clouds
- CAM5 shows increased cloud fraction at all latitudes, but better

#### **Parameterization Behavior Across Scales**

## CAM5

- Fine nest in var-res CAM4 simulation (red dashed) does not match uniform fine simulation (red solid) • Indicative of influence
- CAM4 120W 90W 60W 30W 0 30E Difference between VR and (

#### CAM4

• Very scale selective, climate in the coarse (top left) very different from fine (bottom left) • Significant grid imprinting induced by the physics in the var-res simulation

- restriction)
- **Conforming refinement** • Every edge shared by only two elements
- Unstructured
  - No need for grtid to be tiled in (i,j) fashion
- Static refinement
  - Grid refined during initialization, does not follow atmospheric features
- Special considerations (relative to uniform grid with CAM-SE)
- Timestep globally restricted to finest grid scale (CFL)
- Fourth-order hyper-diffusion based on cell length scale

# **Experimental Setup**

- Six aquaplanet experiments following Neale and Hoskins (2000, ASL) "control" case
- Three with CAM version 4 physics
- Three with CAM version 5 physics (bulk aerosols)
- Aquaplanet excellent idealized framework for evaluating variable-resolution simulations
- Coupled to subgrid parameterizations without topography or other model components (land, ice, etc.)
- Forcing is zonally symmetric so refinement effects can be isolated by investigating the local departure from zonal



- (%) **Cloud fraction** 20W 90W 60W 30W 0 30E 60E 90 Difference between VR and 0.2 CAM5
- <u>CAM5</u>
- Increase in cloud fraction in all simulations at all latitudes compared to CAM4 • Much better performance at multiple grid spacings; very weak signature of nest in var-res simulations

CAM4

Difference between VR and 0.2

- CAM4 and CAM5 contour plots of total cloud fraction (%, top) and precipitation (mm/day ottom) for (a) coarse (b) var-res, and (c) fine runs. The difference between the var-r and coarse simulation is plotted in (d) and the var-res and fine simulation in (e)
  - CAM4 Robust increase in equatorial precipitation maximum at increased resolution • Signature of refined
- Precipitation increases at equator with increasing resolution for both CAM4 and CAM5
- Adjusts more "instantaneously" to resolution than cloud fraction
- Gill circulation can be induced by variations in precipitation along equator
- Anomalous diabatic heating in fine nest leads to divergence and circulation in CAM4 (same as Rauscher et al. (2013, Jclim) (red circle) Still present with CAM5 physics, but weakened (blue circle)

mean



CS res. is the cubed-sphere resolution,  $\Delta x$  is the grid spacing in degrees and kilometers, Cells is the number of elements tiling the sphere,  $dt_{dvn}$  is the dynamics timestep and  $K_4$  is the fourth-order hyper-diffusion coefficient

- Uniform simulations -> 12 months (after spinup)
- Var-res simulations -> 48 months (after spinup)
- Statistics averaged over entire simulation length since model forcings (SSTs, aerosols, etc.) are constant in time







190 200 210 220 230 240

Time-longitude diagram of 100 days of outgoing longwave radiation (W/m<sup>2</sup>) for each of



- Cursory simulations show essentially linear speedup for the atmospheric component
- Var-res simulation runs 7-9 times faster than uniform fine grid (without controlling for variations due to hardware)

#### Reference

C. M. Zarzycki, M. N. Levy, C. Jablonowski, M. A. Taylor, J. Overfelt, and P. A. Ullrich, "Aqua Planet Experiments Using **CAM's Variable-Resolution Dynamical Core**." J. Clim. http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-14-00004.1 (manuscript available on http://www.colinzarzycki.com until AMS Early Online Release)



Kelvin waves

discontinuity

No wave

boundary

regions

transit through

reflection at grid

Wavenumber-frequency diagrams of outgoing longwave radiation averaged between 10° N/S for CAM5 runs. Unnormalized anti-symmetric (a-c), unnormalized symmetric (d-f), and normalized symmetric (g-i) components of the log of the power are shown for the coarse (a,d,g), var-res (b,e,h), and fine (c,f,i) simulations

Top two rows -> unnormalized power spectra based on Williamson (2008a, Tellus) No anomalous power spikes Var-res runs show "transition" between uniform/ coarse resolutions Normalized spectra (bottom row) show robust Kelvin waves, similar results

Precipitation histogram of fraction (log scale) of instances where 6-hourly precipitatior rates were in specific intensity bins (CAM5 runs). Statistics averaged between 10° N/ S. Var-res simulation is broken into component resolutions (pastel colors). Data is same in both panels; bin size and horizontal axis are modified.

match grid spacing of same uniform grid

#### Conclusions

- Refined CAM-SE grids coupled to subgrid physical parameterizations in idealized settings show promising results
  - No spurious grid imprinting or wave reflection at boundaries, even with addition of parameterizations which update dynamical state variables
- Climate in refined nest matches climatology from a uniform high-resolution simulations of equivalent resolution (clouds, precipitation averages and extremes)
- **CAM4** physics exhibits strong sensitivity to resolution; poor choice for variable-resolution simulations
- **CAM5** simulations show significantly more promise in facilitating variable-resolution in coupled climate applications