# Three Topics on Normal Modes: Barotropic Equatorial Trapping and the Effective Lamb's Parameter, Kelvin Solitons and Corner Waves and Hough Eigenvalue Point Clouds

John P. Boyd University of Michigan Cheng Zhou, Nathan Paldor

August, 2015

# FIRST THEME: Equatorial Trapping is Controlled by BOTH Lamb's Parameter and Zonal Wavenumber

$$\epsilon \equiv \frac{4\Omega a^2}{gH} [\text{LAMB'S PARAMETER}]$$

 $\Omega = 2\pi/84,600 \,\mathrm{s}, \qquad a = \mathrm{earth's\ radius}$  $g = 9.8 \,\mathrm{m/s} \qquad H = \mathrm{equivalent\ depth}$ 

# $s \equiv$ LONGITUDINAL WAVENUMBER, an INTEGER

## Equatorial Beta-Plane: Asymptotic Approximation by Hermite Functions

- Orthodoxy:  $v_n \sim \psi_n(\epsilon^{1/4}\mu)$ ,  $\psi_n(\gamma) = \exp(-[1/2]\gamma^2)H_n(\gamma)$
- Boyd (*J. Atmos. Sci.*, 1985) argued that for Rossby waves,



- Boyd & Zhou (*J. Atmos. Sci.*, 2008) extended to Kelvin waves
- Argument applies to SPHERICAL HARMON-ICS & PROLATE SPHEROIDAL FUNCTIONS as well as HOUGH FUNCTIONS

### Prolate Spheroidal Illustration

$$\frac{d^2\psi}{d\phi^2} - \frac{d\psi}{d\phi} + \left\{ \chi_n - \frac{s^2}{\cos^2(\phi)} - \epsilon \sin(\phi)^2 \right\} \psi = 0$$
  
$$\Downarrow \cos(\phi) \to 1, \sin(\phi) \to \phi \Downarrow$$
  
$$\frac{d^2v}{d\phi^2} + \left\{ \chi_n - s^2 - \epsilon \phi^2 \right\} v = 0$$
  
$$1/\cos(\phi)^2 = 1/\left\{ 1 - \sin^2(\phi) \right\}$$
  
$$= 1 + \sin^2(\phi) + O(\sin^4(\phi))$$
  
$$= 1 + \phi^2 + O(\phi^4)$$

$$-\frac{s^2}{\cos^2(\phi)} - \epsilon \sin(\phi)^2 \qquad (1)$$
  
$$\Rightarrow -s^2 - (\epsilon + s^2) \sin(\phi)^2$$

Barotropic ( $\epsilon = 0$ ) Kelvin Waves

High zonal wavenumber Kelvin are equatorial modes even for  $\epsilon = 0$ 

# Barotropic Kelvin, $\epsilon=0$ , s=20



Boyd-Zhou Kelvin approximation is

 $\phi \approx (1-\mu^2)^{s/2} \exp((s/2)\mu^2) \times \exp(-(1/2)\sqrt{\epsilon + s^2}\mu^2)$ (\mu = sin(latitude))

Kelvin & approx. are solid black [graphically indistinguishable]



# Uniform Validity

 $\cdot$  New approximation is uniformly valid for

$$\sqrt{s^2 + \epsilon} >> 1$$

(shaded in figure)

• Though not strictly valid when both *s* and  $\epsilon$  are O(1), it is not a bad approximations



# SECOND THEME: NONLINEAR KELVIN DYNAMICS

# **KELVIN MODE**

CCB Scenario: Cnoidal/Corner/Breaking



# **Definition 1 (Corner Wave)** A corner wave is a steadily traveling nonlinear wave in which the wave height function u(x - ct) has a maximum which is a slope discontinuity.

	1 /
Eq. or Wave Name	Equations
Non-equatorial	
Equatorial Waves	$K_t + KK_x = \gamma \left\{ Y(t)e^{ix} + \overline{Y}(t)e^{-ix} \right\};$
Barotropic Mode	$Y_t = -\gamma \hat{K}(x = 1, t)$
Equatorial Waves	3 coupled PDES in $(x, t)$
Baroclinic Mode	
Resonant Triads,	$u_t + uu_x = 2\operatorname{Re}\left(ikab\exp(-ikx)\right);$
One Nondispersive	$a_t = -i\omega_a \overline{b\hat{u}_k}; b_t = -i\omega_b \overline{a\hat{u}_k}$
Equatorial Kelvin	4 coupled PDEs in $x, t$
(4-mode Model)	
Equatorial Kelvin	3 coupled PDEs in $x, y, t$
(Shallow Water)	(Shallow Water Eqs.)
Non-equatorial	
Surface Irrotational	Euler equations in $x, z$
Water Waves	
Boundary Waves	Two-space-dimensional Euler equations $(x, y)$
on Vortex Patches	
Camassa-Holm	$u_t - u_{xxt} + (2\kappa + 3u - 2u_{xx})u_x - uu_{xxx} = 0$
Ostrovsky-Hunter	$(u_t + uu_x)_x = u$
Gabov/	$(u_t + uu_x)_x = \int_0^{2\pi} \cos(x - y) u(y)  dy$
Shefter-Rosales	
Whitham	$(u_t + uu_x)_x = pb^2 \times$
	$\left\{u-\int_0^{2\pi}\frac{b\cosh(b\left\{ X-y -\pi\right\})}{2\sinh(b\pi)}u(y)dy\right\}$

Table 1: Examples of Systems with Corner Waves and the CCB Scenario

# Corner waves for different values of Lamb's paramter $\epsilon$ CORNER WAVE is a POINT SINGULARITY NOT a CREASE

NOT a CONE



# Kelvin front CURVES because of resonance with gravity waves



# HOUGH POINT CLOUDS INTO POLYNOMIALS

Hough' spherical harmonic Galerkin algorithm, with Longuet–Higgin's improvements, is very fast and spectrally accurate.

Mode classification is NOT a SLAM DUNK

Galerkin method generates POINT CLOUD: eigenvalues at discrete  $\epsilon$ .

Desired: CONTINUOUS BRANCHES

Other complications:

Kelvin mode  $\Rightarrow$  GRAVITY WAVE as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ Yanai mode is "MIXED ROSSBY-GRAVITY"

Number of interior zeros may change with  $\epsilon$ 



Making Friends with Special Functions

#### CONCEPTUAL, QUALITATIVE:

#### Never-Out-of-Date Paradigms: Theorems, Asymptotics & Graphs

#### NUMERICAL:

Ancient Paradigm: Tables

Newer Paradigm: Perturbation Series & Chebyshev Series

Emerging Paradigm: Matlab Code

Spherical harmonic Galerkin discretizations are tridiagonal ( $\epsilon$  is eigenvalue) or otherwise very sparse. Power method allows very fast computation of a chosen mode for arbitrary parameter values without the need to compute all other modes if a Never-Failing-Initialization available.

Alternatives to Never-Failing-Initialization

Continuation, Davidenko Equation, etc., WORK but MANY POTENTIAL PROBLEMS Discussed in many references including:



# Never-Failing-Initialization: Seven Series Options

small ε

spherical harmonics large ε

Hermite functions

Pade from small ε

Pade from large ε

# TWO-POINT PADE

lall ε

Rational Chebyshev (TL series) for each s

**Double Rational Chebyshev** 

(E, s)

Two-Point Padé Approximants

#### Example: Kelvin wave

The existence of such approximations suggests a unity of structure and identity in the Kelvin mode over all of  $\epsilon \in [0, \infty]$ .

Linear Polynomial/Linear Polynomial in  $\sqrt{\epsilon}$  Matches (i)  $\epsilon = 0$  limit (ii) two terms in  $1/\sqrt{\epsilon}$ :

$$c_{[1/1]}^{two-point} = \left(\sqrt{\frac{s+1}{s}} + 4\epsilon^{1/2}\sqrt{\frac{s+1}{s}} - 4\epsilon^{1/2}\right) \\ \left(1 + 4\epsilon^{1/2}\sqrt{\frac{s+1}{s}} - 4\epsilon^{1/2}\right)^{-1}$$
(2)

The next Kelvin approximation  $c_{[2/2]}^{two-point}$  matches the first three terms of the large- $\epsilon$  expansion and two terms of the small- $\epsilon$  series [not shown]

The maximum relative error of the two-point Padé  $c_{[2/2]}^{two-point}$  for Kelvin mode is only 0.0184 over all of  $\epsilon \in [0, \infty]$ .



Figure 1: Errors in the small- $\epsilon$  and large- $\epsilon$  Padé [3/3] approximations and also the quadratic-overquadratic  $c_{[2/2]}^{two-point}$  two-point rational approximation that for the phase speed for the Kelvin mode for s = 1.

Deriving Asymptotic Series by Galerkin Methods & Computer Algebra

• Galerkin Matrix Elements by Exact, Analytical Integration

Hermite function basis [large  $\epsilon$ ] spherical harmonic basis [Small  $\epsilon$ ]

- Expand in  $\epsilon$  or  $1/\sqrt{\epsilon}$  & match powers
- Solve order-by-order in exact rational arithmetic

Low order small  $\epsilon$  expansions by Dikii & Golitsyn and by Longuet-Higgins circa 1965 LH gave limited results for large  $\epsilon$  **Exponential Smallness & Hermite Functions** 

[Define  $\mu = \sin(latitude)$ ]

Key step in large  $\epsilon$ , Hermite function asymptotics is

$$\mu \to \gamma/\sqrt{\sqrt{\epsilon}}$$

Paradox:  $\gamma \in [-\epsilon^{-1/4}, \epsilon^{-1/4}]$ but Galerkin integrals are on  $\gamma \in [-\infty, \infty]$ 

- error is EXPONENTIALLY SMALL
- $\exp(-1/\sqrt{\epsilon})$  is INVISIBLE to  $\epsilon$ -power series
- Coefficients of asymptotic series are EXACT & RATIONAL
- Series DIVERGE

# Reviews on Exponential Smallness

"The Devil's Invention: Asymptotics, Superasymptotics and Hyperasymptotics", *Acta Applicandae*, **56**, 1-98 (1999).

" Hyperasymptotics and the Linear Boundary Layer Problem: Why Asymptotic Series Diverge, SIAM Rev., 47, no. 3, 553-575 (2005)



# SUMMARY

- Equatorial trapping depends on  $s^2 + \epsilon$ [zonal wavenumber (squared) plus Lamb's parameter]
- Kelvin Cnoidal Wave/Corner Wave/Breaking:

Small amplitude Kelvin: cnoidal waves & solitons Largest non-breaking Kelvin wave is a corner wave Medium & large amplitude Kelvin: frontogenesis and breaking

 Hough point clouds can be connected by perturbation series and two-point Padé approximations

In preparation: "Hough Functions: Revisiting Longuet-Higgins' Masterwork Half a Century Later"