### Modal view of atmospheric predictability



Nedjeljka Žagar

University of Ljubljana, Ljubljana, Slovenia

Based on Žagar, N., R. Buizza and J. Tribbia, J. Atmos. Sci., 2015, and Žagar, N., J. Anderson, N. Collins, T. Hoar, K. Raeder and J. Tribbia, to be submitted

#### The problem of data assimilation



Zagar et al., 2013, QJRMS

#### Short-range global forecast errors

Zonally-averaged global short-range forecast errors in the state-of-the-art NWP system are largest in the tropics



Zagar et al., 2013, QJRMS

#### Forecast error growth: an example from the ECMWF ENS



#### Flow-dependency of the short-range forecast errors



3-h fc errors in the zonal wind, derived from the ECMWF ensemble (cy32r3) during 1 month (July 2007)

Zagar et al., 2013, QJRMS

- Motivation
- Modal diagnosis of the analysis and forecast ensembles
- Scale-dependent balance analysis of the short-range forecast-error variances in the 4D-Var ensemble
- A modal diagnosis of ECMWF EPS
- Analysis of outputs from a perfect-model OSSE DART/CAM
- Conclusions

#### Methodology: NMF representation of the forecast errors



**Balance:** part of the forecast errors i.e. ensemble spread that is associated with the Rossby (quasi-geostrophic) type of solutions to the linearized primitive equations.

The unbalanced part projects onto the inertio-gravity solutions that propagate eastward (EIG) or westward (WIG).

Balanced and IG modes are produced by the normal-mode function expansion.

#### Ensemble spread in the modal space

If the input fields to the projection are differences between the ensemble members n=1,..,N and the ensemble mean, the total variance in the modal space is defined as

$$\sum_{k}\sum_{n}\sum_{m}\sum_{m}\left[\Sigma_{n}^{k}(m)\right]^{2}$$

The specific modal variance  $\Sigma^2$  is defined as

$$\left[\Sigma_n^k(m)\right]^2 = \frac{1}{P-1} \sum_{p=1}^P g D_m \left(\chi_n^k(m;p) \left[\chi_n^k(m;p)\right]^*\right)$$

The modal-space variance defined by (5) is equivalent to the total variance in the physical space defined as

$$\sum_{i}\sum_{j}\sum_{m}S^{2}(\lambda_{i},\varphi_{j},m)$$

with the specific variance in physical space  $S^2$ 

$$S^{2}(\lambda_{i},\varphi_{j},m) = \frac{1}{P-1} \sum_{p=1}^{P} \left( u_{p}^{2}(\lambda_{i},\varphi_{j},m) + v_{p}^{2}(\lambda_{i},\varphi_{j},m) + \frac{g}{D_{m}} h_{p}^{2}(\lambda_{i},\varphi_{j},m) \right)$$

# Separation of spread in balanced and inertio-gravity components



# Separation of spread in balanced and inertio-gravity components



#### Large-scale structures dominate the spread



#### Short-range forecast errors, ECMWF system



Almost half of the variance of the short-term forecast errors is associated with the inertio-gravity modes The EIG variance dominates over the WIG variance on all scales

Zagar et al., 2013, QJRMS

#### Forecast-error variance growth from 3-h to 12-h

#### [Variance(12) – Variance(3)] / Variance(3)\*100%



Substantially different forecast-error variance growth in different modes and scales In the tropics, the short-range growth is largest in the Kelvin mode A part of the growth of variance in WIG modes is accompanying the balanced variance growth in the midlatitudes

Zagar et al., 2013, QJRMS

#### Modal view of the growth of ensemble spread

Based on 1 month of model-level data from the operational ECMWF ENS L91 during Dec 2014



Meridionally and vertically integrated ensemble spread as a function of the zonal wavenumber

Initially, about half of the ensemble spread is associated with the inertio-gravity modes. Lateron, balanced spread growth dominates

#### Modal view of the growth of ensemble spread



Initially the IG spread dominates on all scales, especially on synoptic and subsynoptic scales. In 7-day forecasts it is dominant on the subsynoptic scales (under ~1000 km).

#### ECMWF EPS ensemble spread: asymptotic curve



7-day fc range is still far from the limiting curve, especially for IG range

#### Growth of the spread wrt initial spread

**Balanced spread IG** spread 2.25 **ROT 12** 168 IG 12 b) 168 C) ROT 24 6 IG 24 **ROT 48** - IG 48 total spread, ratio to initial spread total spread, ratio to initial spread 1.22 1.2 27 1 2 **ROT 72** IG 72 **ROT 96** 5 IG 96 **ROT 132** IG 132 **ROT 168** IG 168 4 3 24 2 12 2 3 5 30 60 115 2 3 5 9 15 1 9 15 30 60 115 1 k zonal wavenumber zonal wavenumber

Initially, spread growth is largest in the smallest scales and the synoptic scales of the IG modes (tropics)

#### Growth of the IG spread wrt initial spread

WIG spread





#### Scale-dependent view of the spread growth

Zonally and vertically integrated ensemble spread as a function of the meridional mode and forecast range



#### Globally integrated growth curves

3D integrated ensemble spread normalized with its initial value as a function of the forecast range



Largest growth of spread is in planetary scales

6.5

5.5

5

4.5

3

Initially nearly linear growth slows down after day 3

in both IG and balanced modes

#### Comparison of the modal and physical space



planetary

synoptic

#### subsynoptic







#### Growth curves and asymptotic curves

3D integrated ensemble spread normalized with climatological spread



In 2-day forecast range, the global IG spread reaches 60% of its asymptotic value while the same percentage of the global balanced spread is reached after 5 days of forecasts.

#### Ensemble reliability in modal space

The control member, not used in the computation of spread, is used as a verifying analysis for the comparison with the ensemble mean forecast.

The computed root-mean-square error (rmse  $\Delta$ ) is compared with the ensemble spread  $\Sigma$ .

The computation of rmse  $\Delta$  is performed in the modal space as



For a reliable ensemble, the ensemble variance should approximate the mean square error of the ensemble mean, i.e.

$$\left[\Delta_n^k(m)\right]^2 \approx \left[\Sigma_n^k(m)\right]^2$$

### Reliability of the ECMWF ENS

A small lack of variability is initially seen in subsynoptic balanced scales, and lateron in tropical IG modes, primarily the Kelvin mode



#### What if the model were perfect?

# Perfect-model assimilation experiment with DART/CAM ("PM")

Data Assimilation Research Testbed (DART), by Jeff Anderson and collaborators, *http://www.image.ucar.edu/DAReS/DART/* 

Spectral T85 Community Atmosphere Model, CAM 4 physics

Long spin-up (from 1 Jan 2008) with the observed SST to reproduce nature run ('truth')

Preparation of the observations from the nature run

Preparation of the homogeneous observing network ( $\Delta \sim 920$  km) Assimilation cycle during three months (Aug-Oct) in 2008 No inflation



## Short-range global forecast errors in the perfect-model EnKF framework

Zonally-averaged global short-range forecast errors in all variables are largest in the tropics



### Flow dependency of forecast errors in the perfect-model EnKF framework

#### Ensemble spread in zonal wind, 12-hour forecast ensemble





### Summary

- Projection of the forecast-error fields on the normal modes of the N-S equations offers a physically attractive approach to the quantification of errors and understanding of their dynamical properties during the forecast.
- The QG theory (balance) has been the backbone of the variational data assimilation modelling for the global NWP. However, it is not the optimal way to improve the data assimilation modelling in the tropics, where the shortrange forecast errors are largest. An approach aware of the IG balances may be needed.
- About half of the global forecast-error variances in short range in the NWP model ECMWF is unbalanced. The perfect-model (PM) assimilation experiment with the EnKF and DART/CAM system provides similar results.
- The growth of spread in the ECMWF EPS system is dominated by the increase of balanced spread in planetary scales. Overall the system is found to be somewhat underdispersive in the tropics, associated primarily with the Kelvin wave in all scales.

### Thank you for your attention!