## Contributions of Global cloud-resolving model simulations to YOTC

## M. Satoh

Center for Climate System Research, Univ. of Tokyo Research Institute for Global Change(formery FRCGC), JAMSTEC

Courtesy of H. Yamada (JAMSTEC) and W. Yanase (UT) T. Nakazawa (MRI), H. Fudeyasu and P. Liu (IPRC) K. Oouchi and H. Taniguchi, H. Tomita and T. Nasuno (JAMSTEC) T. Inoue & T. Seiki (CCSR), H. Miura (CSU)

> YOTC Implementation Workshop 13-15<sup>th</sup> July 2009 East –West Centre, University of Hawaii

# Contents

- NICAM overview and some recent results
- TC Fengshen simulations: June 2008
- 3.5km mesh simulations and satellite comparisons
- Contributions of NICAM to YOTC

Experiments, plan and suggestions

# **NICAM** outlines



### •Outline of NICAM

#### Miura et a.(2007, Science)

- Nonhydrostatic ICosahedral Atmospheric Model
- for Global Cloud-Resolving Simulations (Satoh et al., 2008, JCP)
- Development since 2000 (Tomita and Satoh 2004, Fluid Dyn. Res.)
- First global dx=3.5km run in 2004 (Tomita et al. 2005, GRL)
- •MJO and Tropical Cyclones
- •High resolution simulations comparable to satellite observations

# **Realistic MJO simulation**

Miura et a.(2007, Science), Nasuno et al.(2009, JMSJ),

NCEP/CPC IR

NICAM 7km, OLR



### MJO and Tropical cyclone



NICAM reasonably produced not only the large-scale circulation, such as the MJO, but also the embedded mesoscale features, such as TC rainbands.

Surface rain rate (mm hour<sup>-1</sup>) by TRMM-TMI



Surface rain rate (mm hour<sup>-1</sup>) by NICAM



#### Fudeyasu et al. 2008 GRL

## Precipitation distribution over south Asia



Oouchi et al. (2009, Geophys. Res. Lett.)





Taniguchi et al. (2009, JMSJ, submitted)

### TC cyclogenesis in Indian Ocean is generally captured with ISV using stretch-NICAM Yanase et al. (2009, JMSJ, submittd)







# **TC FENGSHEN: JUNE 2008**

FIELD OBSERVATION AND NICAM PRELIMINARY SIMULATIONS

# TC Fengshen: June 2008



#### Simulation of Fengshen (2008):

- Initialization 3 days before genesis
- Typhoon development and track similar to the observation
- Plan of more simulations with a higher resolution (dx=dy=3.5 km)



#### **PALAU-2008 Field Experiment**



#### EXAMPLE: SYNOPTIC EVENT OF INTEREST JUNE/JULY 2008 MJO/Kelvin Waves -> E.Pac ITCZ -> TCs -> Gulf Surge -> NA Monsoon -> Flash Floods AZ, NM Contributed by J. Gottschalck/NCEP & M. Wheeler/ABOM



### Hovmöller diagrams (5S-5N)



Eastward propagating (Kelvin) signals with westerly anomalies in mid June

### Hovmöller diagrams (West Pac)



- Four westward-propagating off-equatorial disturbances.
- One grew into TY Fengshen while others didn't grow.
- Slowly eastward propagation of the whole packet, like the behavior of MRG/TD-type waves (Dickinson and Molinari 2002; Straub and Kiladis 2003)

### Horizontal distribution (11-14 June)

0

-10

-20

30

40

-50

10

-20

-30

-50



### Horizontal distribution (15-18 June)



### Horizontal distribution (19-22 June)



### **Evolution of Cloud Bands (relative to pre-TC center)**



LATITUDE

# Meso-scale convection and vorticity at the cyclogenesis stage



LATITUDE

Mesoscale convective vortices in the mid troposphere (mainly within the fore-side cloud system)

#### Meso-scale convection and vorticity at the cyclogenesis stage





# NICAM TC Fengshen simulation

Stretched-7km-grid; Init 12UTC 16<sup>th</sup> Jun (Genesis: 12UTC 18<sup>th</sup>)

NICAM: 12UTC 18th



NICAM: 12UTC 20<sup>th</sup>



NICAM: 12UTC 22<sup>nd</sup>



JCDAS: 12UTC 18<sup>th</sup>



JCDAS: 12UTC 20<sup>th</sup>



JCDAS: 12UTC 22<sup>nd</sup>



#### **OBS. (MW rain, sea-level wind)**

10

10

10

120

81



#### NICAM (rain, UV at z=10m)

19 JUN)

150

← 20 ms<sup>-1</sup>

#### **Erroneous poleward bias in ALL forecast models**



#### **Forecast Track Errors**

	24	36	48	72	96	120
JTWC	108	169	206	308	658	874
CONW	115	192	262	430	703	838
AVNI	124	205	276	512	780	1005
EGRI	105	141	158	228	471	589
GFNI	165	259	354	534	791	848
NGPI	125	214	319	541	770	934
#CASES	14	14	12	11	6	6

Table 1-5: Average FTE (Homogeneous Comparison) Through Tau 120

JTWC:JTWC official forecastsCONWJTWC model consensusAVNIGFS modelEGRI:UK Met Office modelGFNIGFDN modelNGPINRL NOGAPS model

JTWC's 2008 Annual Tropical Cyclone Report (ATCR), Page 38: (http://metocph.nmci.navy.mil/jtwc/atcr/2008atcr/2008atcr.pdf)

"It is highly unusual to have all forecast guidance be incorrect, so JTWC forecasters were reluctant to go against all the models, resulting in highly inaccurate official forecasts. Immediate evaluation by the modeling community is necessary to determine the root causes of the unreliability of the dynamic models in this case."

### Track forecast (init. 00UTC, 20 June)



### Track forecast (init. 00UTC, 20 June)



JMA weekly ensemble (51 members) JMA typhoon ensemble (11 members) JMA GSM (20km resolution) ECMWF ensemble (51 members)

# SATELLITE COMPARISONS

**3.5KM MESH SIMULATIONS AND CLOUD PROPERTIES** 

# NICAM 3.5 km mesh global simulation comparable to satellite observation



#### Ice cloud evaluation by split windows

Inoue et al. (2009, JGR, submitted)



NICAM SNOW PROFILE 26 Dec



#### Calipso/CloudSat simulated reflectivities by COSP





#### observation









### **IWP**



#### NICAM

#### Iga et al. (2009, in preparation)



NICAM IWP is larger than the observed range of IWP.

# IWC

#### NICAM CS4L100



RAVE

fvMMF

# **Cloud Microphysics Schemes of NICAM**

- Grabowski (1998)
- NSW6 (Tomita 2008, JMSJ)
  - Single-moment 6-categories
     of water
- NDW6 (Seiki-Mitsui)
  - Double-moment 6-categories of water



# Stretch-NICAM exp.





•Use of NICAM as a regional model: local-CRM: (Tomita, 2008,JMSJ)
•dx=2.5km-250km Stretch factor=100, Glevel8
•Integration: 2007.1.1.12-1.5.12
•Sensitivity to cloud microphysics scheme NSW6





#### CloudSat/CALIPSO

radar [dBZe] : 2007.1.2.5Z





### NICAM with COSP



radar [dBZe] : 2007.1.2.5Z



qc [g/kg] : 2007.1.2.5Z



qi [g/kg] : 2007.1.2.5Z



qg [g/kg] : 2007.1.2.5Z



qr [g/kg] : 2007.1.2.5Z



qs [g/kg] : 2007.1.2.5Z



w [m/s] : 2007.1.2.5Z



**NICAM Cloud Properties** 

## Sensitivity to cloud microphysics schemes: CFADS of CloudSat/CALIPSO signals using COSP radar [dBZe]: 07010112-07010512: bin=10 CloudSat/CALIPSO

10

20

Grabowski(1998), NICAM-GCRM 3.5km (90-130E, 20S-20N)

-20

-10

radar [dBze] : 2007.1.1.00Z : bin=10

0

radar



0.02

lidar

0.04

0.00

NSW6 (Tomita 2008), stretched-NICAM dx=2.5~5km



lidar [1/km/str] : 2007.1.3.12Z : bin=10



# Pilot simulations of 2-moment cloud model with Global 7km resolution.

Comparisons with satellites and further challenges. (Validation of 2-moment cloud model)



# Summary

- NICAM simulations
  - MJO and ISV (Miura et al., 2007; Nasuno et al., 2009 JMSJ; Liu et al, 2009 MWR; Oouchi et al. 2009 GRL)
  - TC (Fudeyasu et al. 2009, GRL)
  - Diurnal cycle (Sato et al. 2009, J. Clim.)
  - Ensembles for ISV & TC genesis
     (Taniguchi & Yanase 2009 JMSJ)
- Evaluation using satellite data

– GMS

– CloudSat/CALIPSO & TRMM PR

# Contribution to YOTC

- May 2008: TC Nargis & after
  - ISV/Northward Propagation and TC genesis
- June 2008: TC Fengshen
  - Obs. Palau2008/2010
- Experiments, plan and suggestions
  - Global 3.5 km run for a week
    - 15-25 June 2008
  - MJO Ensemble simulations 7km
    - 1 or 2 months x several runs
  - Multiscale structure and meso-scale convective systems
  - Comparison with satellite observations
  - Output data, time interval?