INTRASEASONAL TO SEASONAL PREDICTABILITY OF MONSOON OF HIGH-RESOLUTION MODELS IN PROJECT ATHENA

Department of Atmospheric, Oceanic and Earth Sciences, George Mason University (GMU) / Center for Ocean-Land-Atmosphere Studies (COLA), USA

OVERVIEW: PROJECT ATHENA

The Project Athena collaboration brought together an international team of over 30 people from six institutions on three continents, including climate and weather scientists and modelers, and experts in high-end computing (HEC), to demonstrate the feasibility of using dedicated HEC resources to rapidly accelerate progress in addressing one of the most critical problems facing the global community, namely, global climate change. The scientific basis for undertaking this project was established in the World Modeling Summit, held in May 2008 in Reading, UK, where there was a call for a revolution in seamless weather and climate modeling.

State-of-the-art high-resolution numerical weather prediction models has been ran on multi-year timescales to assess the impact of high resolution on systematic error. Convection-permitting atmospheric models capable of resolving cloud systems in the atmosphere has been used to evaluate the impact of resolving these processes on simulation of seasonal climate.

TWO HIGH RESOLUTION MODELS







| Name | Model | Dynamics | Physics | Boundary Condition |
|--------------|---|---|---|----------------------------|
| NICAM | JAMSTEC Nonhydrostatic Icosahedral Atmospheric Model | Fully compressible non- hydrostatic system | Mass and total energy conservation, Cloud microphysics (Grabowski, 1998) | SST specified & slab ocean |
| ECMWF IFS | ECMWF Integrated Forecast System | Spectral model | Hydrostatic, Mass flux convection scheme | SST specified |

COMPUTATIONAL RESOURCE

| ATHENA | Cray XT4 | 166 TeraFlops 4512 quad-core Opteron nodes (18048) | #30 on Top500 list (November 2009) | Dedicated Oct'09 – Mar'10 |
|--------|----------|--|---------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| KRAKEN | Cray XT5 | 1.03 PetaFlops 8256 dual hex-core Opteron nodes (99072) | #3 on Top500 list (November 2009) | allocation of 5M SUs |

EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN

| | Resolution | Grid Size | Time Period | Duration | # of Cases |
|-------|------------|-----------|--|-----------|------------|
| NICAM | GL10 | 7 km | 21 May – 31 Aug 2001-2009 (except 2003) | 103 days | 8 |
| | T2047 | 10 km | 21 May – 31 Aug 2001-2009 | 103 days | 9 |
| ECMWF | T1279 | 15 km | | | |
| IFS | T511 | 39 km | 1 NOV – 30 NOV 1960-2007 | 13 months | 48 |
| | T159 | 125 km | 1300 2007 | | |

PREDICTABILITY OF SEAONSAL MEAN MONSOON





Temporal correlation with TRMM observation of JJA precipitation anomalies.



JJA Indian monsoon rainfall index for 2001-2008.





• FLOOD • DROUGHT



 Even though the impact of resolution on the forecast skill is not evident, it shows the gap of





74, 79, 82, 85, 86, 87, 02, 04 cases)

Composite of JJA SST anomalies based on model's own negative IMR events.





Time-latitude cross section of daily climatology of precipitation

Temporal correlation of JJA precipitation anomalies.

| predictability of seasonal mean |
|--------------------------------------|
| ENSO response between T511 and |
| T159, which is consistent with other |
| results of IFS. |

| Anomaly Correlation Coeff. (1961-2008) | | | | | |
|---|------|------|------|--|--|
| WY WNPMI ASM | | | | | |
| T159 | 0.37 | 0.31 | 0.23 | | |
| T511 | 0.38 | 0.18 | 0.16 | | |
| T1279 | 0.29 | 0.13 | 0.32 | | |
| | | | | | |

| COLA/GMU | | ECMWF | JAMSTEC/U. Tokyo | NICS | Cray | 76 |
|---------------------|------------------|---------------|---------------------------|------------------|--------------|-----------------------|
| DeepthiAchutavarier | Emilia Jin | Mats Hamrud | Chihiro Kodama | Phil Andrews | Pete Johnsen | |
| Jennifer Adams | Jim Kinter | Thomas Jung | Masaki Satoh (U. Tokyo) | Troy Baer | Per Nyberg | × * |
| Eric Altshuler | Larry Marx | Martin Miller | Hirofumi Tomita (JAMSTEC) | Matt Ezell | | and the second second |
| Ben Cash | Julia Manganello | Tim Palmer | Yohei Yamada | Christian Halloy | | Cartes M |
| Paul Dirmeyer | Cristiana Stan | Peter Towers | | Dwayne John | | Partalle |
| Brian Doty | David Straus | Nils Wedi | | Bruce Loftis | | 200 |
| Bohua Huang | Tom Wakefield | | | Kwai Wong | | |

SUMMARY

- NICAM (cloud-system-resolving model) outperforms the ECMWF IFS (parameterizing convection model) to simulate the Indian monsoon rainfall.
- The increase of horizontal resolution generally improves the forecast skill of Indian monsoon rainfall. While, the linear relationship is not clear between resolution and monsoon forecast skill in other monsoon regions - the western North Pacific monsoon, Australian monsoon, East Asian monsoon regions, etc.
- Even though there is no evident improvement of mean state and anomalies with respect to the increase of horizontal resolution, the ENSO-monsoon relationship shows moderate improvement in higher resolution more than 125km.
- The monsoon simulated with prescribed SST tends to exaggerate the impact of ENSO.
- The spatial (orographic features) and temporal characteristics of subseasonal variability of monsoon rainfall shows more realistic representation in higher resolution.

Contact: Emilia K. Jin, kjin@cola.iges.org or kchin@gmu.edu

