1	
2	5.4: Coupled Models and Climate Projections
3	Peter R. Gent
4	National Center for Atmospheric Research,
5	Boulder, Colorado, USA.
6	<u>gent@ucar.edu</u>
7	
8	

95.4.1. Formulation of Coupled Models

10 Coupled climate models consist of atmosphere, land and sea ice components, as well as the ocean component. 11The atmosphere component is similar to the ocean in that it solves the primitive equations of motion, usually with 12hydrostatic balance, the continuity equation and predictive equations for temperature and specific humidity. The 13vertical coordinate is usually pressure, or terrain-following, or a combination of both. A comprehensive review of 14atmosphere components can be found in Randall et al. (2007). The land surface component usually solves equations 15in the vertical direction for heat and water that include many complex interactions at the land surface and soil layers 16below. It also calculates water runoff, which is then routed by a realistic horizontal pattern of river basins to create 17the river runoff field that is sent to the ocean component. The sea ice component solves a complicated equation for 18the ice rheology and thermodynamic equations for the heat balance of the sea ice. When the ice melts, fresh water is 19sent to the ocean component, and the ocean receives a brine rejection flux when the sea ice is formed.

20 The formulation of the ocean component of coupled models has been described in detail earlier in Chapter 5.1. In 21that chapter it is conjectured, and I concur, that ocean model simulations depend much more strongly on the 22parameterizations of mixing and the effects of unresolved scales than on details of the numerical discretization of 23the equations (Chassignet et al., 1996). The parameterization of vertical mixing has been discussed earlier in 24Chapter 3.3, and the parameterization of unresolved lateral transport in Chapter 3.4.

The first climate model that used realistic geometry was assembled by Syukuro Manabe, Kirk Bryan and co-26workers at the Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory (GFDL), and the results were published in two landmark 27papers; Manabe et al. (1975) and Bryan et al. (1975). The horizontal grid spacing was 5° x 5°, and there were nine 28vertical levels in the atmosphere component and five levels in the ocean component. Since then, the components 29have become much more sophisticated and complex, and the horizontal resolution has increased to about 1° x 1° or 30finer in present day climate models that use about 30-60 vertical levels in the atmosphere and ocean components.

315.4.2. Flux Adjustments

32 Through most of the 1990s, present day control runs of all climate models would quite quickly drift away from 33the realistic initial conditions with which they were initialized. This drift was usually corrected by the use of flux 34adjustments described in Sausen et al. (1988), which could be calculated in two different ways. The heat and fresh 35water fluxes between the atmosphere and ocean components were diagnosed when the atmosphere component was 36run using observed sea surface temperatures (SSTs) and the ocean component was run using observed wind stresses 37and atmosphere surface variables. These flux diagnoses were quite different, and their differences were the flux 38adjustments. Alternatively, the coupled model was run with strong relaxation back to observations of SST and sea 39surface salinity, and these relaxation terms were used as the flux adjustments. The flux adjustments were added to 40the heat and fresh water fluxes between the atmosphere and ocean in a present day coupled control run at each time 41step. This method corrected most of the model drift, but was very unsatisfactory because flux adjustments are 42completely unphysical, mask deficiencies in the atmosphere and ocean components that require their use, and likely 43give an unrealistic response to large perturbations of the climate system. 44 The first model that could maintain the present day temperature climate in a control run without the use of flux 45adjustments was the Community Climate System Model, version 1 (CCSM1). A 300 year control simulation that 46showed very little drift in surface temperature was run during the second half of 1996, and documented in Boville & 47Gent (1998). The reason for this success was refinements of the atmosphere, and especially the ocean component, so 48that the surface heat flux produced by the two components in stand-alone runs was close enough to be compatible. 49However, the ocean salinity did drift because CCSM1 did not have a realistic river runoff component. A history of 50this success is contained in a recent review by Gent (2011). Quite quickly, the climate centers in Australia and the 51United Kingdom implemented two of the new ocean parameterizations from the CCSM1, and were also able to run 52their models with much reduced, or without, flux adjustments (Hirst et al., 1996, Gordon et al., 2000).

53 Now, almost all climate models are run without using flux adjustments. A consequence is that current climate 54models cannot reproduce the present day atmosphere surface temperature and SSTs quite as well as when flux 55adjustments were used. However, this small detraction is far outweighed by the fact that climate models no longer 56use the unphysical flux adjustments. For example, in some models the flux adjustment transported nearly as much 57heat northwards in the North Atlantic Ocean as did the ocean component. This can be seen in Figure 2.3.1 of 58northward heat transport in the Hadley Centre models with and without flux adjustments shown in Section 2.3.3 of 59the first edition of this book by Wood & Bryan (2001).

605.4.3. Control Runs

A control run is an integration of a climate model in which the solar input at the top of the atmosphere component 62has a repeating annual cycle, and all the other forcings have a repeating annual cycle or are kept constant in time. 63The forcings can include the atmospheric concentrations of carbon dioxide (CO₂) and other greenhouse gases, and 64the distributions of several aerosols that affect the atmosphere radiation balance. Quite often a control run is made 65using forcings from present day conditions. This has the advantage that the simulation can be compared to a whole 66range of observations that have been made in the recent past. However, it is well known that the present-day climate 67 is not in equilibrium because important forcings, namely the greenhouse gas concentrations and aerosol emissions, 68have been increasing quite rapidly. Therefore, an alternative control run is made for 'pre-industrial' conditions, 69when it is assumed that the climate was in equilibrium. Pre-industrial is often chosen to be 1850, which is not truly 70before the industrial revolution. However, 1850 is chosen as a compromise between only a very small increase in 71CO₂ over truly pre-industrial levels, and reducing the length of climate model runs between pre-industrial times and 72the present day.

73 Choosing the initial conditions to start an 1850 control run is not straightforward because the ocean, sea ice and 74land states for this period are unknown. The atmosphere initial condition is not as important because it is forgotten 75within a few weeks of the start of the run, so a state from an atmosphere model run forced by observed SSTs is 76usually chosen. Present day states for the sea ice are usually chosen, although the 1850 sea ice volume is thought to 77be larger, so that sea ice should grow during the control run. It is important not to put far too much sea ice into the 78initial condition, because when it melts quickly, it will suppress convection in the ocean component which can take 79a few hundred years to overcome. It is also important that the water content of the soil in the land component is 80initialized realistically, because the timescale to change the water content of the deepest soil level can also be a few 81hundred years. The traditional way to initialize the ocean component is to use a climatology from the late 20th 82century; Levitus et al. (1998) and Steele et al. (2001). This initial condition has a larger heat content than in 1850, 83so that the climate model would be expected to lose heat initially from the ocean component and the whole system, 84although this does not happen in all climate models as some have the ocean gaining heat in 1850 control runs (e.g. 85Griffies et al. 2011).

The SST acts as a negative feedback on the atmosphere-to-ocean heat flux, so it would be expected that the 87atmosphere-to-ocean heat flux, and consequently the top of atmosphere heat budget, would converge towards zero 88after a few decades of an 1850 control run. However, in practice this seldom happens because the ocean heat loss or 89gain occurs in the deeper ocean and not in the surface layers. The climate model heat loss or gain is often almost 90constant in time and is difficult to get very close to zero. Most climate modeling groups aim to get the globally-

91averaged heat imbalance less than 0.1 W/m², usually by tuning a cloud parameter or two in the atmosphere 92component (e.g. Gent et al. 2011). Even so, this rate of ocean heat loss or gain sustained over an integration of 1000 93years means the ocean volume average temperature decreases or increases by 0.2°C compared to the 3.7°C value in 94the Levitus et al. (1998) data used to initialize ocean components. Many well constructed climate models conserve 95water quite well, even though not to machine accuracy. The volume average ocean salinity can vary because of 96changes in the volume of sea ice and soil water content over the course of the integration. However, the volume 97average ocean salinity changes only marginally even over a 1000 year control run if water is almost conserved.

98 One disadvantage of a pre-industrial control run compared to a present-day control run is that there are very few 99observations relevant to that period. However, an 1850 control run does reveal the internal variability of the climate 100model given repeating annual cycle forcing, which is important to document. Variability occurs on all timescales 101from the diurnal to centennial, and this variability can be compared to late 20th century observations. For example, 102the largest interannual signal in the climate system is the El Nino/Southern Oscillation (ENSO) phenomena. This 103has been a difficult signal for climate models to simulate well. However, there has been significant progress in 104recent years. For example, Wittenberg (2009) looks at ENSO in a 2000 year control run of the GFDL CM2.1 105climate model, and shows there is decadal and centennial variability in both the frequency and amplitude of the 106signal. Figure 1 shows the frequency of NINO3 area (90°W-150°W, 5°S-5°N) monthly SST anomalies from 107observations and the CM2.1 when the run is divided into 20, 100, and 500 year segments. The amplitude has a lot of 108variability over the 20 year segments, and still significant variability over the 100 year segments of the control run. 109A period in the run can be found where the model ENSO matches quite well the observed record from 1956 to 2010. 110The ENSO signal in the recently completed CCSM4 model is significantly improved over earlier model versions **112**



Figure 1. Power spectra of NINO3 SSTs as a function of the period in octaves of the annual cycle. (a) Spectra for six 20 year epochs (solid) and one 138 year epoch (dashed and repeated in (c)) from the ERSST observations, and spectra from the CM2.1 represented to the spectrum for (b) 20 year epochs, (c) 100 year epochs and (d) 500 year epochs, where the thick black solid line is the spectrum from the full 2000 year run. From Wittenberg (2009).

117(Neale et al., 2008), and the above comments also apply to ENSO in the 1300 year long CCSM4 1850 control run 118(Deser et al., 2012). The other purpose of an 1850 control run is to provide initial conditions for an ensemble of 119integrations of the model from 1850 to the present day, which are often called 20th century runs.

120

1215.4.4. 20th Century Runs

122 Time series over 1850 to the present day of four or five types of input variables are needed to force 20th century 123runs. They are the atmospheric concentrations of CO₂ and other greenhouse gases, the level of solar output, the 124levels of natural and human-made aerosols, the amount of atmospheric aerosols from volcanic eruptions, and some 125models use historical changes in land use in the land component. The volcanic aerosol level is determined from the 126observed levels of aerosols from recent eruptions, such as El Chicon in 1982 and Pinatubo in 1991, and then scaled 127by the size of eruptions earlier in the period, such as the large Krakatoa eruption in 1883. Usually, an ensemble of 128these 20th century runs is made with each climate model, where the initial conditions are taken from different times 129in the 1850 control run. These initial conditions are chosen after a few hundred years of the control run, so that the 130modeled climate system, including the upper kilometer or so of the ocean, has had time to come into equilibrium. If 131a climate model is to be useful, then its 20th century runs must reproduce well many of the observed changes in the 132earth's climate over the last 150 years. Most of these comparisons with observations will use the last 50 years of 133these runs, which is when virtually all of the observations were made.

134 The best measured quantities going back to 1850 are surface temperature over land and SST. These can be 135combined into a globally-averaged surface temperature with only a small uncertainty, so that it is well known that 136the earth's surface temperature has increased by about 0.7°C over the time 1850 to 2000. Figure 2 shows both the 137observations and the ensemble of five 20th century simulations using the GFDL CM2.1 model. The CM2.1 ensemble 138mean reproduces the observations rather well. There are an enormous number of other quantities that can be 139



Global Mean Surface Temperature: CM2.1: All Forcings

141 Figure 2. Globally-averaged surface air temperature over 1870 to 2000 from the HadCRUT2v observations and both the 142individual members and the ensemble mean from 20th century runs using the GFDL CM2.1 model. From Knutson et al. (2006).

143compared to observations, and choices have to be made about which are compared because they are most important. 144For example, satellite observations of Arctic sea ice between 1979 and 2012 have shown a very significant decrease 145in the ice area during September, which is the month when the ice area is a minimum. If the climate model is to be 146used to project the future state of Arctic sea ice, including when the Arctic Ocean might be virtually ice free in 147September, then the model must reproduce the observations well within the ensemble of 20th century runs. Figure 3 148shows the September Arctic sea ice area from satellite observations (dotted line), and the ensemble mean and spread 149of 20th century runs using the CCSM version 4 (solid line and shading) through 2005, which is when the 20th century 150runs end. The CCSM4 reproduces the observed decline of September sea ice quite well.



Figure 3. Area of Arctic sea ice in September from satellite observations (dotted line) and the ensemble mean (solid line) and spread (gray shading) from six 20th century runs using the CCSM4. From Gent et al. (2011).

Another use of 20th century runs is to determine the cause of the earth's warming that has increased markedly 154 155since the mid 1970s. Additional runs are made where all the natural forcings are retained and the levels of the 156 greenhouse gases and man-made aerosols are kept at their pre-industrial values, and the converse of this run where 157 just the anthropogenic forcings are allowed to vary. Figure 4 shows the globally-averaged surface temperature from 158 ensembles of these two runs using the GFDL CM2.1 model. The conclusion is that the all natural forcings runs 159cannot explain the accelerated warming since 1975, whereas the anthropogenic forcings runs explain the observed 160temperature rise within the internal variability of the model. The confidence with which this result can be stated 161 depends on the amplitude of the model internal variability and the length of time since the model surface 162temperature started to increase markedly. The increase in the model surface temperature over the last 35 years is 163now above two standard deviations of most models' internal variability. The 4th Assessment Report of the 164Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), (Solomon et al., 2007), concluded that it is now 'very likely' 165that the recent warming is due to anthropogenic causes. The level of certainty about this conclusion has increased in 166successive IPCC reports as, over time, the size of the observed temperature increase has become considerably larger 167compared to climate models' internal variability. It is important to note here that there is considerable uncertainty 168 about the parameterization of clouds and the interaction of aerosols and clouds in the atmosphere component of 169climate models. A detailed discussion of these topics can be found in Chapters 1 and 2 of Solomon et al. (2007).

1705.4.5 Future Projections

171 In order for a climate model to run projections, the future levels need to be estimated of the same four or five 172types of input variables that were required for 20th century runs. The future level of solar output is usually chosen to 173be constant, although a very small 11 year cycle is sometimes imposed. Future volcanic eruptions are unknown, so 174a constant background level of volcanic aerosols is usually used. The future levels of natural aerosols are often 175chosen to be constant, along with a gradual reduction of man-made aerosols later in the 21st century. Finally, a 176scenario for the future atmosphere concentrations of CO₂ and other greenhouse gases has to be chosen. There is 177much debate and uncertainty about whether future emissions of CO₂ will remain at their present levels, accelerate 178over the next few decades, or reduce in the second half of the 21st century as the world turns to alternate energy 179sources. Because of this uncertainty, climate models have been run with a wide variety of scenarios for the future

180





Global Mean Surface Temperature: CM2.1: Anthropogenic Forcings



181Figure 4. Globally-averaged surface temperature 1870-2000 from observations, and ensembles of (a) all natural forcing runs, and(b) anthropogenic forcings runs using the GFDL CM2.1. From Knutson et al. (2006).

183concentrations of CO_2 . These concentrations are usually based on a fixed percentage of the CO_2 emissions 184remaining in the atmosphere, or are the output of integrated assessment models which use CO_2 emissions as input. 185This approach ignores the possible feedbacks from the land and ocean components, which may take up less or more 186of the emitted CO_2 in the future compared to the past. If a climate model is to predict the future CO_2 concentrations 187given the emissions, then it must have an interactive carbon cycle that can predict the future levels of CO_2 uptake by 188the land and ocean components (see Chapter 6.4).

189 An informative future projection is obtained if the concentrations of CO₂ and other greenhouse gases are kept 190constant at their present day values. This is called a 'commitment' run, because it shows the future changes that we 191have committed to by raising the atmosphere CO₂ concentration to its present level. Assuming no future changes in 192the forcings, most climate models simulate an increase in the globally-averaged surface temperature of between 0.2° 193and 0.4°C over the next 100 years, see Figure 5b. This increase depends on the model's equilibrium climate 194sensitivity, sensitivity to aerosols, and the rate of ocean heat uptake. The equilibrium climate sensitivity is defined as 195the surface temperature increase due to a doubling of the CO_2 concentration when the ocean component is a simple 196 mixed layer model. Using a mixed layer model ensures an equilibrium response after about 30 years, rather than the 197 order 3000 years if the full depth ocean component is used, (Stouffer 2004, Danabasoglu and Gent 2009). It is 199sharp contrast to the future heat uptake by the ocean component and the resulting sea level rise due to thermal 200expansion, which increase almost linearly with time over the entire duration of commitment runs, see Figure 5c. As 201stated above, the time for the full ocean to reach equilibrium is on the order of 3000 years, so that the ocean heat 202content will not start to asymptote away from the linear increase for well over 1000 years. A similar, extremely long 203 timescale is also relevant for glaciers and ice caps to come into equilibrium with the temperature increase that we 204have committed to. The conclusion is that, even if future temperature rises are stabilized by reductions in CO₂ 205 emissions, the sea level rise we have committed to will continue for the next 1000 years. This extremely long 206timescale for sea level rise is frequently not appreciated; more discussion is in Chapter 6.1.

207 Figure 5a shows the future CO₂ concentrations from three different scenarios and Figure 5b shows the resulting 208globally-averaged surface temperatures. As is to be expected, the faster the rise in greenhouse gases, the faster the 209rise in surface temperature. The rate of temperature increase varies across climate models depending upon their 210equilibrium climate sensitivity, sensitivity to aerosols, on the rate of heat uptake by their ocean components, and 211several other factors. Some future projections have been run where the CO₂ concentration reaches a maximum and 212is then kept constant. The temperature increases quickly, but then only increases slowly when CO₂ is constant, and 213so do other important quantities such as the rate of decrease of Arctic sea ice. However, as shown in Figure 5c, 214ocean heat uptake, and the resulting rise in sea level due to thermal expansion, do not level off; the rate of heat 215uptake only decreases slightly once the CO₂ concentrations are kept constant because of the very long ocean 216adjustment timescale.

217

218 5.4.6 North Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation

219

220 The Gulf Stream transports warm water northwards in the North Atlantic. Most of this water returns to the south 221in the gyre circulation in the upper ocean, but some is returned southwards in a vertical circulation. Deep water is 222formed in the Labrador and Greenland/Iceland/Norwegian Seas and is then returned south in the deep western 223boundary current along the west side of the North Atlantic. This meridional overturning circulation (MOC) is 224important because it carries a majority of the heat that is transported towards the Arctic by all the oceans in the 225Northern Hemisphere. This heat transport modulates the climate of Western Europe compared to other land masses 226at the same latitude. An equivalent, large-scale vertical overturning circulation does not occur in the North Pacific 227Ocean. An important question is how future increases in CO_2 will affect modes of climate variability, such as the 228North Atlantic MOC?





In models, the MOC is characterized by the streamfunction formed by the meridional and vertical velocities when 235the continuity equation is integrated zonally across the ocean basins. A typical Atlantic Ocean MOC streamfunction 236from the CCSM4 is shown in Figure 6. It shows water moving northwards in the upper kilometer, sinking down to 237between 2 and 4 km between 60° and 65°N, and then returning southwards. Note that a large fraction of this return 238flow crosses the equator into the Southern Hemisphere. Below this deep return flow, the negative contours show a 239weak northward flow near the ocean floor, which is the model representation of Antarctic Bottom Water flowing 240northwards. The magnitude of the North Atlantic MOC is virtually always taken to be the maximum value of the 241overturning streamfunction in Sverdrups (Sv), which is usually at a depth of about 1km. Note that this maximum 242value cannot be directly measured from observations, although estimates can be made both from observations at 243particular latitudes (Cunningham et al., 2007) and using assimilation models of ocean circulation (Wunsch & 244Heimbach, 2006).



Figure 6 North Atlantic meridional overturning streamfunction in Sv from the CCSM4. From Danabasoglu et al. (2012).

Figure 7 shows the maximum North Atlantic MOC values from the pre-industrial control runs of the climate 247models CCSM3 and CCSM4 (from the box shown on Figure 6). It shows that the maximum value takes on the 248order of 400-500 years to adjust to the model climatology from the initial condition. This is one reason why the 249initial conditions for 20th century runs described earlier should be taken after 500 years or longer of the control runs. 250Figure 7 also shows a large difference in the internal variability of the North Atlantic MOC between the two 251versions of the model. CCSM3 has a fairly regular, large amplitude oscillation with a period of about 20 years, 252although its amplitude decreases after 500 years, whereas CCSM4 shows much smaller variability with no regular 253oscillation. This change is mostly caused by the implementation of a new overflow parameterization in CCSM4, 254which is described in Danabasoglu et al. (2010). This parameterization improves the representation of the Denmark 255Strait and Greenland/Iceland/Scotland overflows, which results in North Atlantic Deep Water reaching down to 4km 256near 60°N, and is therefore more realistic. This highlights the fact that the representation of the North Atlantic 257MOC in the ocean components of climate models is rather sensitive to several of the parameterizations used. This



259Figure 7. Time series of the maximum value in Sv of the North Atlantic meridional overturning circulation from the pre 260industrial control runs of the CCSM3 and CCSM4. From Danabasoglu et al. (2012).

261results in both the mean maximum value and the internal variability being quite different across all the climate 262models used to make future climate projections. Much more discussion of the North Atlantic MOC is in Chapter 5.6. 263 It has long been thought that future climate change could reduce the magnitude of the North Atlantic MOC, 264(Manabe et al., 1991). Both heating of the upper ocean and an increase in surface fresh water from melting sea ice 265and land ice, especially around Greenland, would reduce the density of the near surface water. This would increase 266 the stability of the upper ocean compared to the deeper ocean, and hence has the potential to reduce the rate of deep 267 water formation in the high latitude North Atlantic. There has also been much speculation that, if the MOC does 268 reduce significantly, then Europe's climate could become colder in the future, rather than becoming warmer. The 269IPCC 4th Assessment Report covered the most recent work on this subject in Chapter 10.3.4 of Solomon et al. 270(2007). The results show that the MOC does get weaker in the future in all 19 climate models assessed, and the rate 271 of weakening increases as the future rate of CO₂ rise increases in the forcing scenarios used. In addition, there is a 272 range of weakening rates in the MOC across climate models that are forced with the same scenario CO₂ increase. 273The range of MOC decreases are bounded by no change at all in the commitment run where the CO₂ remains 274 constant at the present day value, and a decrease of about 50% in the most sensitive models using a scenario where 275the CO₂ concentration has doubled from its 2000 value by the end of the 21st century. Some of these future 276 projections have been run beyond 2100, where the CO₂ concentration is then kept constant at the 2100 value. In all 277'modern' models, and for all the scenarios used for CO₂ concentration at 2100, the MOC begins to strengthen once 278the CO₂ concentration is kept constant (Meehl et al. 2006, Solomon et al. 2007). Again, the rate of recovery 279depends on the particular model and the future scenarios used. 'Modern' here means full climate models using a 280horizontal resolution of 1° or finer, no flux adjustments, and modern physics in the ocean component including the 281much more physically realistic diffusion of heat and salt along sloping isopycnal surfaces rather than along 282horizontal surfaces. In addition, for all climate models and all future CO₂ scenarios used in the IPCC 4th Assessment 283Report, the radiative warming effect of the increased greenhouse gases over Europe is larger than the cooling effect 284of a reduction in the MOC. So, current climate models do not support a future cooling of Europe's climate, 285(Solomon et al. 2007).

286 It has frequently been suggested that the North Atlantic MOC could 'collapse' as a result of future increases in 287CO₂ concentration, and then remain at a very small magnitude for a long time into the future. Manabe and Stouffer 288(1993) showed that when the CO₂ concentration was quadrupled after 140 years and then held constant, the North 289Atlantic MOC did become very small, and then stayed very small for the duration of the 500 year integration. 290However, a later paper (Stouffer and Manabe 2003) shows that the MOC did recover after about 1500 years, and 291then stayed near to its initial value before CO_2 was increased for the remainder of the 5000 year run. The reason was 292that the warming near the surface diffused down slowly over the 1500 years, so that the upper 2-3 km of ocean 293became less stratified, and deep water formation started again. Thus, the MOC recovery time was set by the 294diffusive timescale for heat to reach the ocean mid-depths. When the same run up to $4xCO_2$ was made with more 295'modern' climate models, then the MOC did start to recover soon after the CO_2 was held constant in both the 296HadCM3 (Wood et al. 2003) and the CCSM3 (Bryan et al. 2006b). Therefore, I believe that the Manabe and 297Stouffer (1993) result is influenced by the very coarse ocean resolution of about 4° in the horizontal and 12 vertical 298 levels, horizontal mixing of heat and salt, and the large flux adjustments of heat and fresh water. Mikolajewicz et al. 299(2007) also showed that the North Atlantic MOC can become small and stay small when the increase in CO₂ is a 300 factor of 5, and sometimes when it is a factor of 3. However, the horizontal resolution of their model is very coarse 301at 5.6°, and it has a very large flux adjustment of fresh water in the North Atlantic. The question then arises: Is there 302a final CO₂ concentration where the MOC becomes very small and subsequently does not recover in 'modern' 303climate models? Very recently, the Representative Concentration Pathway 8.5 (RCP8.5) has been used to force the 304CCSM4 between 2005 and 2300 from the end of a 20th century run from 1850 to 2005. The CO₂ starts at 285 ppm 305in 1850, is 385 ppm in 2000, and rises to near 1962 ppm by 2250 before leveling off. This run has already been 306discussed in Chapter 1.1. The globally-averaged surface temperature and the North Atlantic MOC index from 307Meehl et al. (2012) are shown in Figure 1.1.13. The MOC index starts at ~25 Sv in 1850, reduces to ~8 Sv in 2250, 308 and remains near this value as the CO₂ forcing becomes almost constant. The average surface temperature in the 309Arctic Ocean has risen by more than 20° C by 2300 in this RCP8.5 run, and there is no sea ice in the Arctic all year 310round. The deep water formation in the Labrador Sea, Greenland-Iceland-Norwegian Seas, and Arctic Ocean is 311completely shut off by 2200 because of the large rise in SSTs and rapid freshening in surface salinity caused by the 312sea ice melt. The maximum of the MOC streamfunction north of 40°N, shown in Figure 8, has fallen to ~3 Sv by 3132200, so that the index of ~8 Sv shown in Figure 1.1.13 is associated with the subtropical gyre near 20°N at 500 m 314depth, rather than the MOC itself. This run has been continued out to 2500 with constant CO₂ forcing, and the 315maximum MOC value north of 40°N remains <3 Sv throughout to 2500. If this run were to be continued further, I 316think that the MOC would remain small for several hundred years, and a recovery would probably be on the long 317diffusive timescale of heat to reach the ocean mid-depths, as in the 5000 year run of Stouffer and Manabe (2003). 318Thus, the CCSM4 does show that its MOC can be switched off quasi-permanently when it is forced by a CO₂ rise of 319a factor of almost 7 between 1850 and 2250. I suspect that other 'modern' climate models display similar behavior 320when the forcing is so strong that all the Arctic sea ice melts, and deep water formation completely shuts off. 321



324 Figure 8. Maximum value of the North Atlantic MOC streamfunction north of 40°N in an ensemble of CCSM4 325RCP8.5 runs, and in one extension from 2100 to 2500: The CO₂ value is constant after 2300. Courtesy of A. Hu. 326

327 Stommel (1961) showed that in a simple box model the MOC could possess multiple equilibria, which is two 328different stable solutions with the MOC either strong or weak, given identical forcing of the model. In addition, 329Marotzke and Willebrand (1991), Hughes and Weaver (1994) and many more recent studies have found multiple 330equilibria of the MOC in global ocean models that use very coarse resolution of about 4°, diffusion of heat and salt 331along horizontal surfaces, and are forced by mixed boundary conditions of restoring to an atmospheric temperature, 332but an imposed flux of fresh water. More recently, Marsh et al. (2004) and Sijp et al. (2012) have found MOC 333multiple equilibria in Intermediate Complexity coupled models that use a full ocean component with coarse 334 resolution, but a considerably simplified atmosphere component. The last of these results is despite the fact that, 335 using the same model, Sijp et al. (2006) find that the stability of North Atlantic deep water formation to imposed 336 fresh water inputs is significantly increased when diffusion of heat and salt along horizontal surfaces is replaced by 337 diffusion along sloping isopycnal surfaces. The reason is that vertical exchange can then be accomplished by the 338diffusion, whereas it has to be accomplished by convection when the diffusion is along horizontal surfaces. Manabe 339and Stouffer (1988, 1999) did find multiple equilibria of the North Atlantic MOC in a full climate model. However, 340I believe this result is a consequence of the very coarse ocean resolution, horizontal mixing of heat and salt, and the 341very large flux adjustment of fresh water. I know of no evidence of multiple equilibria of the North Atlantic MOC in 342full, 'modern' climate models, so there are two possible explanations. Either multiple equilibria of the MOC exist in 343full climate models, but have not yet been found because of computational time constraints, or they do not exist 344when all the ocean-atmosphere feedbacks are working, which is not the case in Intermediate Complexity climate 345models. I favor the second explanation, but am willing to be proved wrong.

3465.4.7. El Nino/Southern Oscillation

ENSO is the largest and best observed interannual signal in the Earth's climate system. However, it has proved 348rather difficult to simulate well in climate models. Most models have difficulty reproducing the mean precipitation 349pattern in the tropical Pacific Ocean, because they have too much rain south of the equator in the western ocean that 350reaches too far into the central Pacific. In addition, the frequency of model ENSO variability in several models is 351too short, and is not the broad-band maximum between 3 and 7 years seen in observations. Much work over recent 352years has resulted in good ENSO simulations in a small number of climate models, such as the Hadley Centre 353HadCM3 (Collins et al., 2001), the GFDL CM2.1 (Wittenberg, 2009), and the CCSM4 (Deser et al., 2012). These 354improvements have resulted mainly from refinements to the deep convection parameterizations in the atmosphere 355components of these models, and from increased resolution in both the atmosphere and ocean; Guilyardi et al. 356(2009). Figure 9 shows the correlation of monthly mean NINO3 SST anomalies with global SST anomalies from 357observations, and the CCSM versions 3 and 4. The improvements in the CCSM4 are the much wider region of 358positive correlation in the eastern Pacific Ocean that reaches into the subtropics, and the horseshoe pattern of 359



361 Figure 9. Correlation of monthly mean NINO3 (defined by the white box) SST anomalies with global SST anomalies from:
362 a) Observations, b) the CCSM version 4, and c) the CCSM version 3. From Gent et al. (2011).

363negative correlation in the west Pacific Ocean that extends into the central Pacific Ocean at mid-latitudes. 364Degradations are that the negative correlation is now much stronger than observations in the western Pacific Ocean, 365and it reaches into the east tropical Indian Ocean, probably because the ENSO amplitude is too large. These 366improvements result from changes to the atmosphere component deep convection scheme; see Neale et al., (2008).

The models that now simulate ENSO well show large very low-frequency variability in its amplitude on decadal 368and centennial timescales. Wittenberg (2009) shows the Nino3 SST anomaly from a 2000 year control run using 3691860 conditions from the GFDL CM2.1 model, see Figure 1. The very low-frequency variability is large, and is 370reminiscent of the ENSO simulations from the earlier, much simpler coupled model of Zebiak & Cane (1987). A 371frequently asked question is how ENSO will change in the future. However, Wittenberg (2009) and Stevenson et al. 372(2012) show that the very low-frequency ENSO variability in the CM2.1 and CCSM4 control runs is so large, that 373runs of at least 500 years would be required in order to detect a statistically significant change. Therefore, these 374models suggest that ENSO variability in the 21st century will be large, but will not be statistically different than the 375intrinsic variability of ENSO if the climate were stationary and in equilibrium. Much more discussion of ENSO and 376its prediction is in Chapter 5.5.

3775.4.8. Uses of Climate Models

378 Sections 5.4.3 through 5.4.5 describe the use of climate models to simulate a control run when the forcing is 379stationary, the earth's climate from 1850 to the present time, and to make future climate projections out to 2100 and 380beyond. In addition to the variables and phenomena described above, these runs make future projections of the sea 381 level rise due to the warming of the oceans and the regional changes in sea level due to changes in ocean circulation. 382There are two other factors that change sea level; the first is the sea level rise due to melting of ice on land, 383 including mountain glaciers and the Greenland and Antarctic ice sheets (see Chapter 6.1). There is strong evidence 384 from GRACE satellite data of increasing ice melt off Greenland, Antarctica and other land glaciers over the last 385 decade (Velicogna, 2009, Rignot et al., 2011), and during this time it is estimated that this fresh water source has 386contributed an equal amount to sea level rise as the thermosteric rise due to warming of the oceans. This 387 contribution to sea level rise can be included in a climate model, if it has components that represent land glaciers 388 and the two large ice caps. Several climate models are now in the process of including these two components. The 389 final contributor to sea level changes is the height of the earth's crust, which is affected by melting of the Greenland 390ice sheet, changes in the Earth's geoid, and glacial isostatic adjustment (Douglas et al., 2001). It is very unlikely 391these effects will be included in climate models, but they can be estimated using glacial rebound models (Kopp et 392al., 2010).

393 If a climate model has an interactive carbon cycle, then it must have a biogeochemistry module in its ocean 394component. Several climate models now do have an interactive carbon cycle, which means they predict the 395concentration of CO₂ in the atmosphere given a scenario of emissions, rather than taking the atmospheric 396concentration as an input. In this case, the model will make future projections of the oceanic carbon cycle, which 397can be used as a projection for future ocean acidification, and for how this affects the future state of marine 398ecosystems (see Chapters 6.4 and 6.5).

399 Another very important use of the control and 20th century climate model runs is to explore the mechanisms and 400processes of climate variability on all time scales. For time scales from the diurnal, through the seasonal cycle and 401out to the several year time scale of ENSO, the model mechanisms can be compared to our knowledge from ocean 402observations. However, ocean variability on decadal and longer time scales is not well documented by observations, 403so our knowledge of the mechanisms driving ocean decadal variability comes mostly from models. Another use of 404climate models is to document how ocean variability, such as the North Atlantic MOC described in Section 5.4.6, 405affects the atmosphere and sea ice. In general, climate models are used to assess how processes in one component 406affect all the other components of the climate system, which cannot be determined by runs of the individual 407components alone.

408 Another use of climate models is to run simulations for many different paleo-climates of the past. These range 409 from the last glacial maximum 21,000 years ago when the orbital parameters were different (e.g. Otto-Bliesner et al.,

4102006), to deep time experiments many million years ago, when the locations of the continents were very different 411(e.g. Kiehl & Shields, 2005).

412 Over the past 10 years or more, climate models have been used to make ENSO and seasonal forecasts out to six 413months or a year. The difference between a forecast and a future projection is that the climate system needs to be 414initialized to the current climate state in order to make a forecast, whereas it is not initialized to observations when 415making a projection. Making a seasonal forecast is similar to making a weather forecast for the next few days, 416except that in the climate system on seasonal time scales it is just as important to initialize the upper ocean state as 417well as the atmospheric state. For an ENSO forecast, it is only important to initialize the upper part of the tropical 418Pacific Ocean (Rosati et al., 1997), and seasonal forecasts require the upper ocean to be initialized globally. More 419information on these ENSO and seasonal forecasts is in Chapter 5.5. A very recent development is the use of 420climate models to make decadal forecasts of the future climate. For a decadal forecast, the deeper parts of all the 421oceans need to be initialized, not just the upper ocean, and it may also be important to initialize the current state of 422sea ice in the Arctic and Antarctic, and the levels of soil moisture in the land component, although this is as yet 423unproven. Initializing the full depth ocean component is a major new challenge because it is a new aspect of ocean 424science, and much more information and details of decadal forecasts are in Chapter 5.6.

425 Obtaining an estimate of the current ocean state entails assimilating ocean data into a run of an ocean model that 426is driven by the best estimate of atmosphere forcing over the past few years. This has been done much more 427frequently with atmosphere models to produce 'reanalyses' of the past state of the atmosphere. It is now done 428routinely by several groups using ocean models, and some ocean 'reanalyses' have been produced recently (see 429Chapter 5.3). The accuracy of these 'reanalyses' has increased over the past few years (Carton & Santorelli, 2008), 430not only because of the experience obtained, but mostly because since about 2003 there are many more observations 431of temperature and salinity down to 2 km depth that have been obtained using ARGO floats (Roemmich et al., 4322009). However, whether these 'reanalyses' are accurate enough to initialize the ocean component so that climate 433models can make useful decadal forecasts is a research question still to be explored in the future.

4345.4.9. Limitations of Climate Models

435 The standard future projections of climate change made with the current models mostly use a horizontal 436 resolution of around 1° or a little finer in all the components, and quite coarse resolution in the vertical. Almost 437 certainly, the largest limitation of 1° resolution is that several very important processes must be parameterized 438 because they cannot be resolved. Probably the best known of these processes is clouds in the atmosphere 439 component. It has been known since the first climate models were assembled that the radiative properties, including 440 the greenhouse effect, depend strongly on the various cloud parameterizations that have been used in different 441 models (Cess et al., 1989). The various cloud schemes, and the parameterization of the interaction between aerosols 442 and clouds, also dictate to a large degree the model equilibrium climate sensitivity, which is the globally averaged 443 surface temperature increase in response to a doubling of the carbon dioxide concentration. Despite almost thirty 444 years of research, the range of model equilibrium climate sensitivities remains about a factor of two.

In the ocean component, a resolution of 1° means that the viscosity required has to be much higher than desired 446or used in higher resolution models, so that the intrinsic variability is much smaller than in the real ocean. Also, a 447resolution of 1° only begins to resolve the first Rossby radius of deformation near the equator, which is helped by 448the finer meridional resolution which is often used around the equator. Therefore, the effects of mesoscale eddies 449have to be parameterized. Most climate models use the Gent & McWilliams (1990) (GM) eddy parameterization, 450but there are significant differences in how it is implemented near the ocean surface, in the value of the coefficient 451chosen, and whether the coefficient is a constant or varies with position. It has been shown that a resolution of 1/10° 452is necessary to resolve the eddy effects so that a model's sea surface height variability matches that from satellite 453observations (Bryan et al., 2006a). Thus, it will still be over a decade before eddy-resolving ocean components will 454be used for standard climate projections. However, some climate model control runs with the ocean and sea ice 455components using 1/10° resolution have recently been completed, (McClean et al. 2011, Kirtman et al. 2012). In 456general, these high resolution integrations have a worse climate in many respects compared to the coarse resolution

457runs because we have less experience in how to choose the best parameter values. Chapter 3.4 shows how these 458high resolution integrations can be used to evaluate the GM parameterization.

459 Another important limitation of climate models is that they lack components that may be important for simulating 460certain potentially large future changes in the climate system. Good examples have already been mentioned, such as 461an active carbon cycle and a component for the Greenland and Antarctic ice sheets. Without these components, a 462climate model cannot address the possibility that the ocean will take up a smaller fraction of the CO₂ output in the 463future, which will leave a larger fraction in the atmosphere, and the future sea level rise due to water melting from 464the ice sheets. In addition, there are several more possibilities of severe, possibly abrupt, climate changes with a 465very small probability, but with very large consequences. Examples are the release of large amounts of methane 466from ocean clathrates (Archer, 2007) and the possible fast breakup of the West Antarctic Ice Sheet (Bamber et al., 4672009). These are difficult to simulate accurately and to assess quantitatively the possibilities that they will occur.

4685.4.10. Cutting Edge Issues

469 The numerical discretization of the depth coordinate ocean components that are used in most climate models are 470quite old. They are based on latitude and longitude grids, although nearly all models now transpose the North Pole 471into a nearby land mass. There are also grids that have two poles in northern land masses, so that the resolution of 472the Arctic Ocean can be more comparable to the resolution in the rest of the global oceans. However, over the past 473ten years there has been much work on new grids that are much more uniform over the globe than latitude and 474longitude grids. These have mostly been developed with the atmosphere component in mind, and have been 475designed to work efficiently on the tens of thousands of processors that make up modern supercomputers (Staniforth 476& Thuburn, 2012). These grids can be made to vary in resolution from one part of the globe to another quite 477routinely. Therefore, the prospect is that grids with variable resolution will soon be available for global ocean 478components. Where to enhance the resolution and where to keep it coarse will then have to be decided, but 479enhanced resolution at the equator, along coasts and to resolve important narrow passages is now in prospect. The 480hope is that a variable grid would have many fewer grid points than a global 1/10° resolution grid, but would give 481comparable results.

482 Also over the past ten years, it has been well documented that depth coordinate models contain some cross-483isopycnal mixing due to the numerics (Griffies et al., 2000, Ilicak et al., 2012). This is a problem if the numerical 484 mixing is comparable to the very small level of cross-isopycnal mixing imposed in the deeper ocean. One way to 485avoid this is to use an isopycnal model where the vertical coordinate is potential density (Bleck, 2002, Hallberg, 4862000). This type of model can be run stably with no cross-isopycnal mixing at all. Some climate models use an 487 isopycnal ocean component (Furevik et al., 2003, Sun & Bleck, 2006, Dunne et al., 2012), but still the large majority 488of climate models use a depth coordinate ocean component. One reason is familiarity, but benefits of depth 489 coordinates are the ease of simulating the upper mixed layer and that they keep uniform vertical resolution 490throughout the global ocean. Maintaining realistic deep overflows has also been a traditional problem using depth 491coordinates, but this can be improved by incorporating an explicit overflow parameterization (Danabasoglu et al., 4922010). Isopycnal coordinate models represent deep overflows quite well, but their traditional drawbacks are the 493difficulty of representing the mixed layer, where vertical density gradients are small, and poor resolution in the high-494 latitude oceans, where the top-to-bottom density gradient is very small. I think that both types of models should 495continue to be developed, so that the positives and negatives of the two coordinate systems can be further compared 496and contrasted. A third vertical coordinate system used in coastal ocean models is sigma, or terrain-following, 497coordinates, which automatically have very thin grid cells in the shallow regions of the ocean. This is an advantage 498 when studying coastal currents and processes, but is a real disadvantage in the climate context. The reason is that 499the allowable time step due to vertical advection in the shallow ocean is much smaller than that using the other 500vertical coordinates, so that the ocean model takes much more computer time to run.

501 As important as upgrading the numerical aspects of ocean climate components is further development of all the 502parameterizations used in the model. The vertical mixing scheme in the momentum and tracer equations not only 503sets the mixed layer and thermocline depths, but also dictates the amount of cross-isopycnal mixing in the deeper

504ocean. It should include contributions from many sources such as internal wave breaking, tidal mixing, possibly 505mesoscale eddies and other processes (see Chapter 3.3). It also needs to work well across a range of vertical 506resolutions, because the vertical grid varies considerably with depth in most models. How to specify the coefficient 507in the GM eddy parameterization as a function of position is the subject of ongoing work (Eden et al., 2009), as well 508as how to transition the GM scheme into horizontal tracer mixing in the mixed layer (Danabasoglu et al., 2008, 509Ferrari et al. 2010), (see Chapter 3.4). The prospect of variable resolution grids discussed above leads to the 510requirement that ocean component parameterizations work well over a large range of scales. A good example is the 511GM parameterization for the effects of eddies on the mean flow. How should the coefficient be specified in a grid 512that varies between 1° and 1/10°? The same question needs to be asked of the horizontal viscosity scheme.

513 A final cutting edge issue is which processes and interactions are missing from current ocean components that 514could be important in future climate change? One example is the interaction of the ocean with ice shelves. This is 515very important because the breakup of small ice shelves has already been observed in Greenland and the Antarctic 516Peninsula. There is some potential for much larger Antarctic ice shelves to break up into the ocean. Current ocean 517components do not include the coastal and estuarine environments. These are areas of strong interactions between 518physical ocean properties and the carbon cycle. It is also where nutrients are injected from river outflows and most 519ocean biology occurs. These are potentially important aspects of the climate system, which are discussed in 520Chapters 6.3, 6.4 and 6.5.

521

522

523Acknowledgments

524

525I would like to thank my NCAR colleagues: Gokhan Danabasoglu for Figures 6 and 7, Marika Holland for Figure 3, 526Jerry Meehl for Figure 5, and Rich Neale for Figure 9. Aixue Hu ran the continuation of the CCSM4 RCP8.5 run 527out to 2500, and provided Figure 8. Thanks is also due to several colleagues at GFDL: Andrew Wittenberg for 528Figure 1, and Tom Knutson for Figures 2 and 4. Steve Griffies, Ron Stouffer, and two other reviewers provided 529very thorough reviews of this chapter, which contributed to a much improved final version. Thomas Stocker 530provided some comments, and his views on AMOC bifurcations and the possibility of multiple equilibria in full 531climate models.

532

533

534References

535Archer, D., (2007). Methane hydrate stability and anthropogenic climate change. *J. Geophys. Res.-Biogeo.*, 4, 521-536 544.

537Bamber, G. L., R. E. Riva, B. L. Vermeersen, & A. M. LeBrocq, (2009). Reassessment of the potential sea-level 538 rise from a collapse of the West Antarctic ice sheet. *Science*, 324, 901-903.

539Bleck, R., (2002). An oceanic general circulation model framed in hybrid isopycnic-Cartesian coordinates. *Ocean* 540 *Modelling*, 37, 55-88.

541Boville, B. A. & P. R. Gent, (1998). The NCAR Climate System Model, version one. J. Climate, 11, 1115-1130.

542Bryan, F.O., M.W. Hecht, & R.D. Smith, (2006a). Resolution convergence and sensitivity studies with North 543 Atlantic circulation models. Part I: The western boundary current system. *Ocean Modelling*, 16, 141-159.

544Bryan, F. O., G. Danabasoglu, N. Nakashiki, Y. Yoshida, D-H. Kim, J. Tsutsui, & S. C. Doney, (2006b). Response 545 of the North Atlantic thermohaline circulation and ventilation to increasing carbon dioxide in CCSM3. *J.* 546 *Climate*, 19, 2382-2397. 547Bryan, K., S. Manabe, & R. C. Pacanowski, (1975). A global ocean-atmosphere climate model. Part II. The 548 oceanic circulation. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, 5, 30-46.

549Carton, J. A. & A. Santorelli, (2008). Global decadal upper-ocean heat content as viewed in nine analyses. *J. Climate*, 21, 6015-6035.

551Cess, R. D., & Coauthors (1989). Interpretation of cloud-climate feedback as produced by 14 atmospheric general circulation models. *Science*, 245, 513-516.

553Chassignet, E. P., L. T. Smith, R. Bleck, & F. O. Bryan, (1996). A model comparison: Numerical simulations of the 554 north and equatorial Atlantic Oceanic circulation in depth and isopycnic coordinates. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, 26, 555 1849–1867.

556Collins, M., S. F. Tett, & C. Cooper, (2001). The internal climate variability of HadCM3, a version of the Hadley 557 Centre coupled model without flux adjustments. *Clim. Dyn.*, 17, 61-81.

558Cunningham, S. A. & Coauthors, (2007). Temporal variability of the Atlantic meridional overturning circulation at 559 26.5° N. *Science*, 317, 935-938.

560Danabasoglu, G., R. Ferrari, & J. C. McWilliams, (2008). Sensitivity of an ocean general circulation model to a 561 parameterization of near-surface eddy fluxes. *J. Climate*, 21, 1192-1208.

562Danabasoglu, G. & P. R. Gent, (2009). Equilibrium climate sensitivity: Is it accurate to use a slab ocean model? *J.* 563 *Climate*, 22, 2494-2499.

564Danabasoglu, G., W. G. Large, & B. P. Briegleb, (2010). Climate impacts of parameterized Nordic Sea overflows. 565 *J. Geophys. Res.* 115, C11005, doi:10.1029/2010JC006243.

566Danabasoglu, G., S. G. Yeager, Y. O. Kwon, J. J. Tribbia, A. S. Phillips, & J. W. Hurrell, (2012). Variability of the 567 Atlantic meridional overturning circulation in CCSM4. *J. Climate*, 25, 5153–5172.

568Deser, C., A. S. Phillips, R. A. Tomas, Y. Okumura, M. A. Alexander, A. Capotondi, J. D. Scott, Y. O. Kwon, & 569 M. Ohba, (2012). ENSO and Pacific decadal variability in Community Climate System Model version 4. *J. Climate*, 25, 2622-2651.

571Douglas, B. C., M. S. Kearney, & S. P. Leatherman, (2001). Sea level rise: History and consequences. International 572 Geophysics Series 75, Academic Press.

573Dunne, J. P. & Coauthors, (2012). GFDL's ESM2 global coupled climate-carbon Earth system model. Part I: 574 Physical formulation and baseline simulation characteristics. *J. Climate*, 25, 6646-6665.

575Eden, C., M. Jochum, & G. Danabasoglu, (2009). Effect of different closures for thickness diffusivity. *Ocean* 576 *Modelling*, 26, 47-59.

577Ferrari, R., S. M. Griffies, A. J. Nurser, & G. K. Vallis, (2010). A boundary-value problem for the parameterized 578 mesoscale eddy transport. *Ocean Modelling*, 32, 143-156.

579Furevik, T., M. Bentsen, H. Drange, I. K. Kindem, N. G. Kvamstø, & A. Sorteberg, (2003). Description and 580 evaluation of the Bergen climate model: ARPEGE coupled with MICOM. *Clim. Dyn.*, 21, 27-51.

581Gent, P. R. & J. C. McWilliams, (1990). Isopycnal mixing in ocean circulation models. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, 20, 582 150-155.

583Gent, P. R., (2011). The Gent-McWilliams parameterization: 20/20 hindsight. Ocean Modelling, 39, 2-9.

584Gent, P. R. & Coauthors, (2011). The Community Climate Model version 4. J. Climate, 24, 4973-4991.

585Gordon, C., C. Cooper, C. A. Senior, H. Banks, J. M. Gregory, T. C. Johns, J. F. Mitchell, & R. A. Wood, (2000). 586 The simulation of SST, sea ice extents and ocean heat transports in a version of the Hadley Centre coupled model 587 without flux adjustments. *Clim. Dyn.*, 16, 147-168.

588Griffies, S. M., R. C. Pacanowski, & R. W. Hallberg, (2000). Spurious diapycnal mixing associated with advection 589 in a z-coordinate ocean model. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, 128, 538–564.

590Griffies, S. M. & Coauthors (2011). The GFDL CM3 coupled climate model: Characteristics of the ocean and sea 591 ice simulations. *J. Climate*, 24, 3520-3544.

592Guilyardi, E. & Coauthors (2009). Understanding El Nino in ocean-atmosphere general circulation models: 593 Progress and challenges. *Bull. Amer. Met. Soc.*, 90, 325-340.

594Hallberg, R. W., (2000). Time integration of diapycnal diffusion and Richardson number-dependent mixing in 595 isopycnal coordinate ocean models. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, 128, 1402-1419.

596Hirst, A. C., H. B. Gordon, & S. P. O'Farrell, (1996). Global warming in a coupled climate model including oceanic 607 eddy-induced advection. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 23, 3361-3364.

598Hughes, T. M. C. & A. J. Weaver, (1994). Multiple equilibria of an asymmetric two-basin ocean model. *J. Phys.* 599 *Oceanogr.*, 24, 619-637.

600Ilicak, M., A. J. Adcroft, S. M. Griffies, & R. W. Hallberg. (2012). Spurious dianeutral mixing and the role of 601 momentum closure. *Ocean Modelling*, 45, 37-58.

602Kiehl, J. T. & C. A. Shields (2005). Climate simulation of the latest Permian: Implications for mass extinction. 603 *Geology*, 33, 757-760.

604Kirtman, B. P. & Coauthors, (2012). Impact of ocean model resolution on CCSM climate simulations. *Clim. Dyn.*, 605 39, 1303-1328.

606Kopp, R. E., J.X. Mitrovica, S.M. Griffies, J. Yin, C.C. Hay, & R.J. Stouffer, 2010: The impact of Greenland melt 607 on local sea levels: a partially coupled analysis of dynamic and static equilibrium effects in idealized water-608 hosing experiments. *Climatic Change*, 103, 619-625.

609Knutson, T. R., & Coauthors, (2006). Assessment of twentieth-century regional surface temperature trends using 610 the GFDL CM2 coupled models. *J. Climate*, 19, 1624–1651.

611Levitus, S., T. Boyer, M. Conkright, D. Johnson, T. O'Brien, J. Antonov, C. Stephens, & R. Gelfeld, (1998). 612 *Introduction*, Vol. 1, *World Ocean Database 1998*, NOAA Atlas NESDIS 18.

613Manabe, S., K. Bryan, & M. J. Spelman, (1975). A global ocean-atmosphere climate model. Part I. The atmospheric 614 circulation. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, 5, 3-29.

615Manabe, S. & R. J. Stouffer, (1988). Two stable equilibria of a coupled ocean-atmosphere model. *J. Climate*, 1, 616 841-866.

617Manabe, S., R. J. Stouffer, M. J. Spelman & K. Bryan, (1991). Transient responses of a coupled ocean-atmosphere 618 model to gradual changes of atmospheric CO₂. Part I: Annual mean response. *J. Climate*, 4, 785-818.

619Manabe, S. & R. Stouffer, (1993). Century-scale effects of increased atmospheric CO₂ on the ocean-atmosphere 620 system. *Nature*, 364, 215-218.

621Manabe, S. & R. J. Stouffer, (1999). Are two modes of thermohaline circulation stable? *Tellus A*, 51, 400-411.

622Marotzke, J. & J. Willebrand, (1991). Multiple equilibria of the global thermohaline circulation. *J. Phys.* 623 *Oceanogr.*, 21, 1372-1385.

624Marsh, R. & E. J. Coauthors (2004). Bistability of the thermohaline circulation identified through comprehensive 2-625 parameter sweeps of an efficient climate model. *Climate Dynamics*, 23, 761-777.

626McClean, J. L. & Coauthors, (2011). A prototype two-decade fully-coupled fine-resolution CCSM simulation. 627 *Ocean Modelling*, 39, 10-30.

628Meehl, G. A. & Coauthors, (2006). Climate change projections for the twenty-first century and climate change 629 commitment in the CCSM3. *J. Climate*, 19, 2597-2616.

630Meehl, G. A. & Coauthors, (2012). Climate system response to external forcings and climate change projections in 631 CCSM4. *J. Climate*, 25, 3661-3683.

632Mikolajewicz, U., M. Groger, E. Maier-Reimer, G. Schurgers, M. Vizcaino & A. Winguth, (2007). Long-term 633 effects of anthropogenic CO₂ emissions simulated with a complex earth system model. *Clim. Dyn.*, 28, 599-633.

634Neale, R. B., J. H. Richter, & M. Jochum, (2008). The impact of convection on ENSO: From a delayed oscillator to 635 a series of events. *J. Climate*, 21, 5904-5924.

636Otto-Bliesner, B. L., E. C. Brady, G. Clauzet, R. Tomas, S. Levis, & Z. Kothavala, (2006). Last glacial maximum 637 and holocene climate in CCSM3. *J. Climate*, 19, 2526-2544.

638Randall, D. A., & Coauthors, (2007). Climate models and their evaluation. In *Climate Change 2007: The Physical* 639 *Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the fourth Assessment Report of the IPCC.* Cambridge 640 University Press.

641Rignot, E., I. Velicogna, M. R. Van den Broeke, A. Monaghan & J. Lenaerts, (2011). Acceleration of the 642 contribution of the Greenland and Antarctic ice sheets to sea level rise. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 38, L05503, 643 doi:10.1029/2011GL046583.

644Roemmich, D., G. C. Johnson, S. Riser, R. Davis, J. Gilson, W. B. Owens, S. L. G. Owens, C. Schmid, & M. 645 Ignaszewski, (2009). The Argo Program: Observing the global oceans with profiling floats. *Oceanography*, 22, 646 24-33.

647Rosati, A., K. Miyakoda, & R. Gudgel, (1997). The impact of ocean initial conditions on ENSO forecasting with a 648 coupled model. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, 125, 754-772.

649Sausen, R., R. K. Barthels, & K. Hasselmann, (1988). Coupled ocean-atmosphere models with flux correction. 650 *Clim. Dyn.*, 2, 154-163.

651Sijp, W. P., M. Bates, & M. H. England, (2006). Can isopycnal mixing control the stability of the thermohaline 652 circulation in ocean climate models? *J. Climate*, 19, 5637-5651.

653Sijp, W. P., M. H. England & J. M. Gregory, (2012). Precise calculations of the existence of multiple AMOC 654 equilibria in coupled climate models. Part I: Equilibrium states. *J. Climate*, 25, 282-298.

655Solomon, S., D. Qin, M. Manning, Z. Chen, M. Marquis, K.B. Averyt, M. Tignor & H.L. Miller, (2007). *Climate* 656 *Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of* 657 *the IPCC.* Cambridge University Press.

658Staniforth, A., & J. Thuburn, (2012). Horizontal grids for global weather and climate prediction models: a review. 659 *Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc.*, 138, 1-26.

660Steele, M., R. Morley, & W. Ermold, (2001). PHC: A global ocean hydrography with a high-quality Arctic Ocean. 661 *J. Climate*, 14, 2079-2087.

662Stevenson, S., B. Fox-Kemper, M. Jochum, R. Neale, C. Deser, & G. Meehl, (2012). Will there be a significant 663 change to El Nino in the 21st century? *J. Climate*, 25, 2129-2145.

664Stommel, H., (1961). Thermohaline convection with two stable regimes of flow. Tellus, 13, 224-230.

665Stouffer, R. J. & S. Manabe, (2003). Equilibrium response of thermohaline circulation to large changes in 666 atmospheric CO₂ concentration. *Clim. Dyn.*, 20, 759-773.

667Stouffer, R. J., (2004). Time scales of climate response. J. Climate, 17, 209-217.

668Sun, S., & R. Bleck, 2006: Multi-century simulations with the coupled GISS-HYCOM climate model: Control 669 experiments. *Clim. Dyn.*, 26, 407-428.

670Velicogna, I., (2009). Increasing rates of ice mass loss from the Greenland and Antarctic ice sheets revealed by 671 GRACE. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 36, L19503, doi:10.1029/2009GL040222.

672Wittenberg, A. T., (2009). Are historical records sufficient to constrain ENSO simulations? *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 673 36, L12702. doi:10.1029/2009GL038710.

674Wood, R. A. & F. O. Bryan, (2001). Coupled ocean-atmosphere models. In *Ocean Circulation and Climate*, G. 675 Siedler, J. Church, J. Gould Eds., International Geophysics Series 77, Academic Press.

676Wood, R. A., M. Vellinga, & R. Thorpe, (2003). Global warming and thermohaline circulation stability. *Phil.* 677 *Trans. R. Soc. Lond. A*, 361, 1961-1975.

678Wunsch, C. & P. Heimbach, (2006). Estimated decadal changes in the North Atlantic meridional overturning 679 circulation and heat flux 1993-2004. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, 36, 2012–2024.

680Zebiak, S. E. & M. A. Cane, (1987). A model El-Nino-Southern Oscillation. Mon. Wea. Rev., 115, 2262-2278.

681

682

683Abstract

684Coupled climate models consist of atmosphere, ocean, land and sea ice components. Most climate models now do 685 not need to use flux adjustments to maintain the present day climate in a control run, when the forcings have a 686 repeating annual cycle or are constant in time. A control run must simulate well known important large-scale 687 phenomena, such as the El Nino/Southern Oscillation and the North Atlantic overturning circulation. Climate 688 models are used to simulate the climate of the 20th Century, and to make projections of the future climate. The 689 uses and limitations of climate models are then described, and several cutting edge issues are discussed.

690

691Keywords

692Coupled Models

693North Atlantic overturning circulation

694El Nino/Southern Oscillation

695Control Runs

69620th Century Runs

697Future Climate Projections

698Limitations of Climate Models

699Cutting Edge Issues